DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 105 007 UD 014 996

TITLE [Puerto Rico] State Annual Evaluation Report, Title I

ESEA, Fiscal Year, 1972.

INSTITUTION Puerto Rico State Dept. of Education, Hato Rey.

PUE DATE 72

NOTE 132p.; A few pages may reproduce poorly due to

quality of original document

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.76 HC-\$6.97 PLUS POSTAGE

DESCRIPTORS *Annual Reports; Comparative Analysis; Educational

Needs; English (Second Language); Language Ability; *Program Administration: *Program Evaluation: Reading

Achievement; School Districts; School Systems;

Spanish Speaking; Student Characteristics

IDENTIFIERS Elementary Secondary Education Act Title I; ESEA

Title I; *Puerto Rico

ABSTRACT

This Commonwealth of Puerto Rico evaluation report on programs, projects, services, and activities funded in whole or in part under Elementary Secondary Education Act Title I, is organized into seven parts. Part 1, "Basic Information" includes subsections focusing on the Puerto Rico School System, Title 1 target areas, and program emphasis. Part 2 specifies the "Total Allocation for Fiscal Year 1972. Part 3 discusses the methods and procedures used in executing the "Program Evaluation." The contents of Part 4, "Test Results," are organized into two subsections detailing "Statistical Analysis" and "Summary of Tests Results" respectively. Part 5 summarizes the "Plans of Twelfth Grade Graduates." "Project Profiles" are given in Part 6. Part 7 is a "Summary of Major Findings." Also included in the report are four charts--48 tables and 26 graphs. Among the latter are the following: Spanish and English Reading Tests, D.M.A. -- Sixth Grade, Relative Ranking of School Districts Based on Percentage of Students Scoring at or above the Fiftieth Percentile, April 1972: Comparison of Spanish Reading Test Scores in the years 1971 and 1972--Fourth Grade Relative Ranking of School Districts Based on Percentage of Students Scoring at or above the Fiftieth Percentile, April 1972; and 24 others. (Author/JM)



Commonwealth of Puerto Rico Department of Education Hato Rey, Puerto Rico

U 3 CEPARTMENTOF HEALTH
E DUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
E DUCATION
TENT OF BEEN HEPRO
TENT OF BEE

State Annual Evaluation Report Title I, E S E A Fiscal Year, 1972

Submitted by:

Ramón A. Cruz / Secretary of Education

Prepared by:

Evaluation Division Area of Planning and Educational Development

ERIC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
List of Charts	I
List of Tables	II, III, IV
List of Graphs	V, VI, VII
BASIC INFORMATION	2
A. The Pharto Rico School System	2
B. Title I Target Area	6
C. Program Emphasis	6
TOTAL ALLOCATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 1972	8
PROGRAM EVALUATION	10
TEST RESULTS	15
A. Statistical Analysis	19
B. Summary of Tests Results	19
PLANS OF TWELFTH GRADE GRADUATES	20
PROJECT PROFILES	91
SUMMARY OF MAJOR FINDINGS	98
APPENDIX	120



CHARTS

		Pag	çe
Chart	1	Organization Chart of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico	;
Chart	2	Commonwealth of Puerto Rico Department of Education Organization Chart	ŀ
Chart	3	Budgetary Allocations for Areas Year 1972 1	.1
Chart	4	Budgetary Allocations by Areas and Activities Year 1972 1	.2
		TABLES	
Table	1	Enrollment Figures by District, Zone and Grades Sample for 1971-72 Testing for the Evaluation Of Title I Program	L7
Table	2a	Test Results by District and Grade Spanish Reading Urban Zone April 1972	23
Table	21:	Test Results by District and Grade Spanish Reading Rural Zone April 1972	24
Table	20	Test Results by District and Grade Spanish Reading Total (Urban and Rural) April 1972	25
Table	3	Test Results by Districts, Grade and Zone English Reading-April 1972	26
Table	4:	a Spanish Reading Test Fourth Grade Urban Zone	27
Table	41	b Spanish Reading for Fourth Grade Rural Zone Comparison of Tot Scores for the Years 1971 and 1972	28
Table	1.	c Spanish Reading Test Fourth Grade Urlan and Rural	29
Table	5	a Spanish Reading Test Sixth Grade Urban Zone	30
Table	5	b Spanish Reading Test Sixth Grade Rural Zone	31
Table	5	c Spanish Reading Test Sixth Grade Urban and Rural	32
Table	6	a English Reading Test Sixth Grade Urban Zone	•33
Table	6	b English Reading Test Sixth Grade Lural Zone	34
Table	6	c Euglish Reading Test Sixth Grade Urban and Rural	35



Pag	ge
-----	----

Table	· 7a	Spanish Reading Test Comparison of Total Mean Scores by School Districts between the years 1971 and 1972 Seventh Grade Urban Zone
Table	7b	Spanish Reading Test Comparison of Total Mean Scores by School Districts between the years 1971 and 1972 Seventh Grade Rural Zone
Table	7с	Spanish Reading Test Seventh Grade Urban and Rural
Table	8a	English Reading Test Seventh Grade Urban Zone
Table	8Ъ	English Reading Test Seventh Grade Rural Zone
Table	8c	English Reading Test Seventh Grade Urban and Rural
Table	9	Spanish Reading Test Tenth Grade
Table	10	English Reading Test Tenth Grade
Table	lla	Spanish Reading Test Comparison of Test Scores for the Same Group of Students in Two Consecutive Years Urban Zone
Table	116	Spanish Reading Test Comparison of Test Scores for the Same Group of Students in Two Consecutive Years Rural Zone
Table	12a	Spanish Reading Test Comparison of Test Scores for the Same Group of Students Urban Zone
Table	12b	Spanish Reading Test Comparison of Test Scores for the Same Group of Students in Two Consecutive Years Rural Zone
Pable	13	Spanish Reading Test Comparison of Test Scores for the Same Group: of Students in Two Consecutive Years
Laple	14	Difference of the Means of the Fourth Grade on the Spanish Reading Test Administered in April 1972



Table	15	Difference of the Means of the Fifth Grade on the Spanish Reading Test Administered in April 1972	50
Table		Difference of the Means of the Sixth Grade on the Spanish Reading (Battery) Test Administered in April 1972	51
Table		Difference of the Means of the Seventh Grade on the Spanish Reading Test Administered in April 1972	52
Table		Difference of the Means of the Fighth Grade on the Spanish Reading Test Administered in April 1972	53
Table	19	Difference of the Means of the Tenth Grade on the Spanish Reading Test Administered in April 1972	54
Table	20	Difference of the Means of the Eleventh Grade on the Spanish Reading Test Administered in April 1972	55
Table	21	Difference of the Means of the Sixth Grade on the English Reading (Battery) Test Administered in April 1972	56
Table	22	Difference of the Means of the Seventh Grade on the English Reading Test Administered in April 1972	57
Table	23	Difference of the Means of the Tenth Grade on the English Reading Test Administered in April 1972	58
Table	24	Interquartile Ranges of Test Scores Spanish Reading Grades 4, 7 and 10	59
Table	25a	Interquartile Rames of Test Scores Spanish Reading 1. Fourth Grade April 1972	ა0
Table	25%	Interquartile Ranges of Test Scores Spanish Reading Test Seventh Grade April 1972	61
Table	25 c	Interquartile Ranges of Test Scores Spanish Reading Test Tenth Grade April 1972	62
Table	26	Rank Order by District Spanich Reading 1971-1972	63
Table	27	Plans of Potential Twelfth Grade Graduate by Sex: 35 Title I Districts versus: 40 Non Title I Districts year 1)71-72	92

iv



Table	2.8	Alternatives on Items 2, 3 and 4 of the Questionnaire Chosen by Students Who Indicated They Had Plans To Continue Studying
Table	29	Alternatives on Items 3, 4 and 5 Chosen By Those Students Who Indicated They Had Plans To Continue Studying And To Work
Table	30	Alternatives on Rems 6 Chosen By Those Students Who Indicated They Had Plans For Working Only Or That They Did Not Have Plans for Working Or Studying 96
Table	31	Potential Twelith Grade Graduates Who Indicated Their Plans To Enter The Armed Forces- 1971-72 (Items J, E)
Table	32	Plans of Potential Twelfth Grade Graduites, 35 Title I Districts Vs. 46 Non Title I Districts Years 1970-1971 and 1971-1972
		GRAPHS
Graph	1Λ	Spanish Reading Test Fourth Grade Mean Scores by District and Zone April, 1972
Graph	1B	Spanish Reading Test Fourth Grade Mean Scores by Regions and Zone April, 1972
Graph	2А	Spanish Reading Test Fifth Grade Mean Scores by District and Zone April, 1972
Grapl.	21)	Spanish Reading Test Fifth Grade Mean Scores by Regions and Zone April, 1972
Graj h	3/	Spacish Reading Test, D.M.A Sixth Grade Mean Scores by District and Zone April, 1972
Graph	315	Spanish Reading Test. D. M.A Sight Grade Mean Scores by Regions and Zone April, 1972



Greeph	47	English Reading Test, D. M. A Sixth Grade Mean Scores by Di. trict and Zone April, 1972
Graph	4B	Eiglish Reading Test, D.M.A. Sixth Grade Mean Scores by Regions and Zone April, 1972
Ciraph	5Λ	Spanish Reading Test-Seventh Grade Mean Scores by District and Zones April, 1972
Craph	5B	Spanish Reading Test - Seventh Grade Mean Scores by Regions and Zone April, 1972
Ciraph	6A	English Reading Test- Seventh Grade Mean Scores by District and Zone April, 1972
Graph	6 B	English Reading Test- Seventh Grade Mean Scores by Regions and Zone April, 1972
Graph	7A	Spanish Reading Test- Eighth Grade Mean Scores by District and Zone April, 1972
Graph	7B	Spanish Reading Test- Eighth Grade Mean Scores by Regions and Zone April, 1972
Graph	8A	Spanish Reading Test-Tenth Grade Mean Scores by District April, 1972
Graph	8B	Spanish Reading Test-Tenth Grade Mean Scores by Regions April, 1972
Graph	9 A	English Reading Test- Tenth Grade Mean Scores by District April, 1972
Graph	9 B	English Reading Test-Tenth Grade Mean Scores by Regions April, 1972



Graph 10A	Spanish Reading Test - Eleventh Grade Mean Scores by Districts April, 1972
Graph 1013	Spanish Reading Test- Eleventh Grade Mean Scores by Regions April, 1972
Graph II	Spanish and English Reading Tests, D.M.A. Sixth Grade Relative Ranking Of School Districts Based on Percentage of Students Scoring at or above the 50th Percentile April, 1972
Craph 12	Spanish and English Reading Tests, Joventh Grade Relative Ranking Of School Districts Dased on Percentage of Students Scoring at or above the 50th Percentile April, 1972
Graph 13	Spanish and English Reading Tests, Tenth Grade Relative Ranking Of School Districts Based on Percentile April, 1972
Graph 14	Comparison Of Spanish Reading Test Scores in the years 1971 and 1972- Fourth Grade Relative Ranking of School Districts Based on Percentage of Students Scoring at or above the 50th Percentile April, 1972
Graph 15	Comparison Of Spanish Reading Test Scores in the years 1971 and 1972-Seventh Grade Relative Ranking of School Districts Based on Percentage of Students Scoring at or above the 50th Percentile April, 1972 88
Ciraph 16	Comparison Of Spanish Reading Test Scores in the years 1971 and 1972 - Tenth Grade Relative Ranking of School Districts Based on Percentage of Students Scoring at or above the 50th Percentile April, 1972 89

vií



BASIC INFORMATION






Basic Information

A. The Puerto Rico School System


The Department of Education in Puerto Rico functions as a single large district or Local Educational Agency. This means that the specific goals and objectives for elementary and secondary education in Puerto Rico as well as the plans to carry out these objectives are designed at the State level.

The head of the Department of Education is the Secretary of Education, who as all directors of the executive agencies, is appointed by the Governor of Puerto Rico. (See Chart 1 - Organization Chart of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico). The Constitution of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico as well as the School Laws empower the Secretary of Education to organize, direct, finance and supervise the public school system. This system is organized into 83 school districts which are in turn grouped into six educational regions: San Juan, Ponce, Mayaguez, Arecibo, Caguas and Humacao. (See Chart 2 - Organization Chart of the Department of Education). It is at the central level of the Department of Education, that instructional and administrative policy is established, thus determining the school curriculum, selecting, purchasing and distributing textbooks; equipment and materials, deciding construction of school buildings, appointing and paying teachers, administrators and supervisors, supervising teachers and conducting evaluation. The local aspects of these responsibilities are delegated by the Secretary of Education to the regional and district offices.









round by Art his 137 of June 29, 1989 Coopensos with Sourstory of Education in the introduction of a philosophy of aducation and in the study and solution of basic discretion) of 25th 26 of April 23, 1931, include by Art No. 136 of April 23, 1930, include by Art No. 136 of April 23, 1930, include by Art No. 136 of April 23, 1930, include by 200-violeting of 25 of

Office of the Undersecretary for Administration Systems and Procedures Division September, 1978



For fiscal year 1972 the total public and private accredited school enrollment of Puerto Rico totalled 807,438 pupils attending regular day schools. Of this total 712,452 students or 88.0% were attending public schools while 94,986 cm 12.0% were attending private accredited schools.

the number of pupils attending urban public schools was 423,614 or 61.0% of the total, while the enrollment in rural public schools was 269,875 or 39.0%. u the same date there were 23,446 teachers working in 19,218 classrooms.

In spite of increasing funds for education and our permanent interest in the betterment of the educational level of the Island's population, the Puerto Rico State Department of Education continues facing the dilemma of providing more and better educational experiences to an ever increasing number of students. Pupil/teacher as well as pupil/classroom ratio are too high. Consequently, a large number of students get less than six hours of daily instruction. The retention rate has been increasing but it is still low. A high percentage of teachers currently in service have not fullfilled the academic requirements for a regular certificate.

The generally poor economic condition of Puerto Rico is reflected in the funds available for education. Although the Commonwealth Government devotes consistently about one third of its annual budget to education, the average annual expenditure per pupil has only reached \$491 in 1971-72. This is one of the lowest among all States. Title I funding thus constitutes a major thrust among efforts to upgrade the educational system in Puerto Rico.



B. Title 1 rest Area

Since 1969 Title I funds have been almost exclusively assigned to projects within thirty-five school districts, identified as the most under priviledged on the basis of per-capita income and lowest academic achievement. (See Map on next page illustrating geographical location of selected districts).

As of August 1971 the situation within the 35 Title I districts was as follows:

a. Total school enrollment

Total Public and Private School enrollment

234,231 (29% of total Island)

Total Public

229,035 (98% of total enrollment)

Total Private

5,196 (2% of total enrollment)

b. Urban Rural proportion

Total enrollment in Urban Public Schools

- 99,011 (43% of total enrollment)

Total enrollment in Rural Public Schools

- 130,024 (57% of total enrollment)

The Part of the Control of the Contr

This urban-rural proportion differs from that in the 48 remaining districts where the balance leans toward the urban zone as follows:

Urban 337,130 (70.0%) and Rural 146,287 (30.0%)

c. Enrollment by type of organization (Grades 1 - 12)


Single enrollment (six hours)

- 153,182 (70%)

Interlocking and Double enrollment organization

- 65,960 (30%)







d. Teachers and classrooms

Total number of classrooms - 6,533.

Total number of teachers - 7,609

Number of teachers with provisional certificate - 2,051 (27%)

This percentage reflects that the need for adequately trained teachers is still more acute within this sector than in the rest of the Island, where only 15% of the teachers hold provisional certificates.

C. Program Emphasis

The four main project areas designated as priorities and under which all Title I projects were classified since 1969, continued through fiscal year 1972 with slight modifications. Budgetary allocations by each of these areas as well as by specific activities within areas are included in the next section of this report.



TOTAL ALLOCATION FOR FISCAL YEAR 1972



BUDGETARY ALLOCATIONS


PROJECT AREAS

PROJECT AREAS AND ACTIVITIES



CHART III

BUDGETARY ALLOCATIONS BY AREAS YEAR 1972




	Amount Assigned	%
Area I: Lengthening the school day	\$9,236,236	28.77%
Area II: Curriculum enrichment and improvement of pupils!		
academic achievement	18,969,379	59.09%
Area III: Supportive services	2,501,373	7.79%
Evaluation of Title 1 Projects	1,396,448	4.35%
Total	32,103,436*	100%

*Total includes a carry over of \$3,092,759




BUDGETARY ALLOCATIONS BY AREA AND ACTIVITIES YEAR 1972



Area 1: Lengthening the School Day

BEST COPY AVAILABLE


BUDGETARY ALLOCATIONS BY AREAS & ACTIVITIES YEAR 1972



Area II: Curricular Enrichment and Improvement of Students' Achievement




BUDGETARY ALL DATECTAL BY ANEAU & ACTIVITIES YEAR 1972



21.80% Recreational & cultural enrichment 12.28% School & community 13.25% Social work program

52.67% Health services

Area III: Supportive Services



Evaluation of Title I Projects

PROGRAM EVALUATION

k



Program Evaluation

In keeping with the functions assigned to the Division of Evaluation of the Puerto Rico State Department of Education concerning the Title I evaluation requirement, data gathering activities continued to be designed and developed at two levels. First, to assess specific project objectives and second, to assess overall Title I program impact on pupils' achievement.

At the project level, both formal and informal evaluation techniques are applied depending on factors such as nature of objectives, availability of measuring instruments, etc. At this level, the Division of Evaluation functions in a supportive role. Project directors in coordination with supervisors from the Division of Evaluation compile statistical and descriptive data deemed to be essential for evaluation purposes and reporting. Technical assistance is provided through seminars, workshops, interviews and the like. The definition of objectives in behavioral terms, the preparation of evaluation designs, test construction, and analysis and interpretation of test results, are among the most frequent topics covered. The overall program assessment continued as in previous years to encompass mainly the development of standardized testing in Spanish and English reading in various grade levels and the analysis of the data related to school dropouts, failures and plans of twelfth grade graduates. Simultaneously, other standardized tests are being developed which are expected to be ready for administration in 1973. These are achievement tests in Mathematics, Spanish Language and Listening-Speaking Comprehension in English. Although the present report intends to focus both evaluation level,, the latter one, because of its objective nature, is specially stressed.



Due to technical difficulties at the Electronic Center of the Department of Education, as well as limitations on the amount of testing materials available, a statewide testing program as extensive as that developed in 1971 was not feasible this year. For evaluation purpose a sample of 18 school districts (See Table 1) was selected on the basis of the following criteria:

- 1. Socio-economic level
- 2. Proportion of urban and rural school enrollment
- 3. Geographical distribution
- 4. Title I participation (6 districts from the Title I Sector 12 districts-non-Title I Sector)

Standardized tests administered by grade and subject matter are listed below:

Test	Grades	Districts*
General Ability	4, 7, 10	18 district sample
Spanish Reading	4, 6, 7, 10 5, 8, 11	18 district sample 12 district sample
English Reading	7, 7, 10	18 district sample

The results that were obtained in each of the grades tested by district a d by regions as well as comparisons between the 6 Title I districts vs. the 12 Non-Title I districts are included in this report.

あるからない なんない

^{*18} district sample (See Table 1) sample selected for overall Title I evaluation program

^{*12} district sample - sub sample selected for evaluation of Project 34 (Spanish)

	•
	1 0
	3212
	-
	3212
37 25.5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	6936
200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	6936
386	1687
120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120	1845
100 mm m	2842
45.7 45.7	9841
25.0 15.9 15.9 15.9 15.9 17.5 17.5 17.5 17.5 17.5 17.5	3360
20	5981
12.00 12.00 12.00 13	10715
200	6492
11-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-1	4223
25 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	6692 4223 6492
283791	4330
25. 25. 25. 25. 25. 25. 25. 25. 25. 25.	2382
7.	4099 7653 11752 2382 4310
1.37 1.45 1.55 1.	7653
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	4099
1 144 I 1. O' 101. 2. O 1 1. O' 3. Marcual 4. Despired 7. Car. my 8. Min atf 9. Cavey 10. Garabo 11. Fajardo 12. Juncos 13. Aguadalla 14. Calo Rojo 15. Gufnica 16. Peffuelas 17. Dorado 18. Toa Baja	TOTAL
- 10 4 1 4 2	17

TEST RESULTS



lest Results

the statistical analysis done on the test data for this report includes the following:

- 1. Comparison of mean scores by zone within each school district for 1972.
- 2. Comparison of mean scores by zone within each educational region for 1972.
- 3. Comparison of total mean scores between the Title I districts (6) and Non-Title districts (12) for 1972.
- 4. Comparison of total mean scores between the Title I districts and Non-Title I districts by zone for 1972.
- 5. Comparison of mean scores by grade for the years 1971 and 1972 by district, region, Title I and Non-Title I Sector.
- 6. Interquartile ranges of test scores on the basis of percentage of students achieving at or above the 50th percentile.
- 7. Ranking of school districts based on the percentage of students achieving at or above the 50th percentile in the Spanish Reading test.
- \$. Comparison of ranking attained by school districts in Spanish Reading in relation to English Reading,
- 9. Comparison of the same group of students in two consecutive grades (e.g. fourth grade (1971) vs. fifth grade 1972).



Summary of Test Results

- A. The analysis of mean scores obtained by students in the various grades tested by districts, zones, Title I and Non-Title I sectors, in both Spanish and English reading reveal that:
 - a. students from the urban zone continue to achieve higher mean scores in both English and Spanish reading than pupils from the rural zone. The differences observed at all levels are statistically significant. (See Tables 2, 3 and Graphs 1 - 13).
 - b. in general, no significant differences are observed as to achievement levels attained in both Spanish and English reading within each grade level for the years 1971 and 1972, that is, mean scores obtained by grades and by school districts in 1972 are more or less the same as those obtained the preceding year in the same grades. (See Tables 4 10).
 - c. higher mean scores correspond to the upper grade when results are compared for the same group of students in two consecutive years. As expected, fifth grade achievement as measured by the Spanish and English reading tests, is better than fourth grade achievement for the same group of students, eighth better than seventh and eleventh better than tenth. (See tables 11 13).
 - d. in general, students from the Title I sector continued to achieve slightly lower mean scores than the students from the Non-Title I sector. However, consistent with the situation encountered in 1971, the difference in mean scores between urban and rural zone is smaller



- within the Title I sector than within the Non-Title I sector (See Tables 14 23).
- e. in spite of lower mean scoring within the Title I sector, the amount of gain observed in Spanish reading from one year to the other, is approximately the same or better than the amount of gain observed within the Non-Title I sector. (See tables 11-13). The total mean differences for the Title I sector were 4.97 from the fourth to the fifth grade; 5.65 from the seventh to the eighth and 3.35 from tenth to eleventh. For the Non Title I sector the total mean differences were 5.10 from the fourth to the fifth, 5.06 from the seventh to the eighth and 3.68 from tenth to eleventh grade.
- B. The analysis of interquartile ranges of Spanish Reading scores, based on percentage of scores within quarters by district, reveal that:
 - a. as expected, approximately 25% of all cases from the 18 district sample (total) fell within each quarter. (See Tables 24 25).
 - b. approximately the same percentage of students from the Title I and Non-Title I districts fell within the limits of the middle quarters (see and and third), however in the extremes of the distribution (upper and lowest quarters), greater differences are observed. A larger percentage of scores within the Title I sector fell within the lowest range. (See Table 25).

The rank order of school districts established on the basis of percentage of scores at or above the 50th percentile in Spanish reading in grades 4, 7 and 10 is indicated on Table 26. With a few exceptions within grades, Title I districts' ranking correspond to positions 13 to 18, the lowest within



the total distribution. Rank order actained by individual districts is rather consistent not only from one year to the other (See Graphs 14 - 16) but also across subjects (English and Spanish). (See Graphs 11 - 13).



Table 2 -s Test Results by Eistrict and Grade Spanish Reading Urban Zone April 1972

Diarres								
District		4th	5th		7th	8th	10th	11th
	N	155	200		255	168	2 30	170
Comerfo	X	223.0	233.2		240.0	246.2	250.3	178
	SD	6.3	<u>7</u> .8		9.7	9.9	10.4	254.0 11.3
		188	216		229			
Corozal	$\frac{N}{X}$	224.5	228.0		236.3	216 242.8	412.	313
	SD	5.6	8.0		12.3	11.0	250.4 10.6	254.0
	N	143	149		151	126		12.0
Jayuya	X	227.0	230.9		240.6	247.3	228	224
	_ SD_	8.0	8.6			11,7	249.9	255.7
	N	64	63		74	58	73	11,5 49
Las Marías		228.0	233.0		243.6	247.8	250.8	259.5
	SD	7.0	8.0		10.1	10.2	10.8	13.3
	N	120	118		149	114	147	120
Maunabo	\overline{x}	231.0	235.0		240.0	246.2	254.0	257.4
	SD	7.0	8.0		10.0	11.7	11.6	12.6
	N	119	148		161	150	347	292
Orocovis	Х	225.1	228.0		239.1	245.1	249.4	251.8
	SD	4.9	7.0		9.1	11.3	10.9	10.7
	N	157	i65		227	2 02	267	220
Cabo Rojc	x	233.7	238.0		245.9	249.9	256.0	260.3
	SD	<u>86</u>	10.0		11.9	12.1	11.6	11.2
	N	310	-	•	2 93	-	526	
Aguadilia	X	228.0	-		244.0	-	254.0	-
	<u>SD</u>	7.4			11.1_		11.3	
	N	119	-		146	-	243	-
Cimuy	X	230.0	-		243.9	-	253.7	-
	SD	6,6			10.1		11,1	
_	N	462	-		510	-	561	-
Сауеу	X	232.0	-		240.0	-	252.9	-
	SD	<u>9.0</u>			9.2		9.8	
	N	107	174		163	151	186	158
Dorado	X	227.4	232.5		240.9	243.8	253.1	255.9
	<u>_\$D</u>	7,6	9.3_		10.6	10.9	10.6	11.3
Fajardo	X X	405	332		424	345	394	325
rajaras	SD_	230.5	235.0		242.1	248.5	254.0	256.6
	<u></u>	7.9	10.0		10.9	11.2	11.6	13.1
Guánica	X	230.0	-		248	-	162	~
Quanica	SD.	6,6	-		242.0	-	252.6	-
	N	97	106		10.9		11.7	
Gurabo	$\frac{1}{X}$	231.0	233.2		287	224	200	168
04.000	SD_	9.0	10.6		240.0 9.9	242.1	251.4 10.9	255.9
	N	193	-		339	- 11.5	265	11.4
Juncos	\overline{X}	227.0	-		235.9	_	254.0	_ _
	SD	7.5			9.0	_	10.7	-
Manatf	N	237	278		426	435	418	350
	\overline{X}	226 2	233.4		240.1	245.0	252.7	254.0
	SD	6.4	10.0		9.7	12.5	11.2	11.0
	N	176	155		203	161	197	167
Peñuelas	X	228.0	231.0		240.0	246.9	254.0	256.8
	<u>5D</u>	6.9	8.0		9.0	11.1	9.9	11.9
	N	507	-		597	-	584	-
Toa Baja	Σ̈́	228.9	-		240.9	-	250.3	-
	SD	7.1			10.6		11.7	



Table 2 -b Test Results by District and Grade Spanish Reading Rural Zone April 1972

	 -				
DISTRICT	ļ	4th	5th	7th	8th
COMERIO		26.2	3.5		
Oomen	$\frac{1}{N}$	363 228.0	317 231, 7	154	157
	SD	6.9	7.9	237.7	242.1
COROZAL					9.3
CONCLAD	N/X	485	529	282	245
	SD	6.0	231.9	238.9	241.1
JAYUYA					11.5
JAIUIA	<u>x</u>	344	288	171	136
	SD	226.0 6.4	230.5	240.0	242.4
			8. 1	9.3	10.3
LAS MARIAS	N	207	143	67	59
	X	226.7	231.0	238.5	240.8
N/A 7714 A 77 A	13D	6.3	7. 2	8.8	10.2
MAUMABO	N	404	192	91	73
	SD	228.0	231.0	238.2	241.8
OD OG OWA	 	5.6	6.8	6.8	8.0
OROCOVIS	N	528	472	408	333
	\overline{x}	226.7	232.0	240.0	244. 5
	SD	5. 3	8.0	8.7	9.7
CABO ROJO	$\frac{x}{x}$	327	342	188	145
		229.9	233.0	244.0	249.0
	SD	6.1	8.2	9.2	11.0
AGUADILLA	$\frac{x}{N}$	678		376	
		229.9	i	242.4	
	SD	6.1		10.6	
CAMUY	N	412		251	
,	X	228.0		240.0	
	SD	7.0	·	9.0	
CAYEY	N	563		325	
	x	229.0		241.5	
	SD	6.0		9.6	
DORADO	N	308	250	156	138
	X	225.0	229. 2	241.1	242.8
FAJARDO	SD	6.8	6.9	10.7	10.2
FAJARDO	SD SD	111	116		
	S	226.0 6.0	231.9		
GUANICA			6.6		
GUANICA	<u>X</u>	125 227. 1			
	SD	6.3			
GURABO	N	252	266	33	
	$\frac{x}{x}$	227.8	231. 0	236.0	32
	SD	7.2	8. 7	11.0	240.3 12.10
JUNCOS	ŕ – • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	311			12.10
1011000	$\frac{N}{X}$	226.9		131 · 238.5	
	SD	5.6	~~*	9. 2	
MANATI		378	351	56	0.2
	X	225.9	229. 2	235.9	83 240.0
	SD	5. 1	6.9	7.8	9.3
PEÑUELAS	И	282	317	2 18	152
	x	227.9	232. 3	243.3	245.0
	SD	6.3	8.7	9.7	10.0
TOA BAJA	N	689	~	389	***
	\bar{x}	225.0		238.4	
	SD	6.8		9.6	



Table 2 -c Test Results by District and Grade Spanish Reading Total (Urban and Rural) April 1972

				•			
District		4th	5th	7th	8th	10th	11th
	N	518	517	409	225	2.20	
Comerfo	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$		232.0	239.7			178
	SD	6.7	8.0	9,2			254.0
	N		745	511			11.3
Corozal	茶		231.0	237.7			313
	SD		8.1	10.9		230 250.3 10,4 412 250.4 10.6 228 249.9 9.9 73 250.8 10.8 147 254.0 11.6 347 249.4 10.9 267 256.0 11.6 526 254.0 11.3 243 253.7 11.1 561 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 10.9 267 254.0 11.3 243 253.7 11.1 561 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 10.9 267 254.0 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 11.6	254.0
	N		437	322		230 250.3 10,4 412 250.4 10.6 228 249.9 9.9 73 250.8 10.8 147 254.0 11.6 347 249.4 10.9 267 256.0 11.3 243 253.7 11.1 561 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 10.9 267 256.0 11.3 243 253.7 11.1 561 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 10.9 267 254.0 11.1 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 10.9 257 258.0 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 11.6 10.9 267 258.0 11.1 259 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 162 252.6 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 11.6 162 252.6 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 11.6	12.0
Jayuya	$\bar{\mathbf{x}}$		230.6	240.2			224
	SD		8,3	10.5			255.7
	N		206	141			11.5
Las Marías	$\overline{\overline{X}}$		231.4	240.7	325 230 7 244.8 250.3 8.9 10,4 461 412 7 241.9 250.4 9 11.1 10,6 262 228 2 245.0 249.9 11.0 9.9 117 73 2 244.8 250.8 9.8 10.8 187 147 2 245.0 254.0 9.7 11.6 483 347 2 245.0 249.4 9.9 10.9 347 267 2 249.4 256.0 11.9 11.6 - 526 - 254.0 - 11.3 - 243 - 253.7 - 11.1 - 561 - 252.9 - 9.8 289 186 243.3 253.1 10.2 10.6 345 394 248.5 254.0 11.2 11.6 - 162 - 252.6 - 11.7 256 200 241.8 251.4 11.2 10.9 - 265 - 11.7 256 200 241.8 251.4 11.2 10.9 - 265 - 11.7 256 200 241.8 251.4 11.2 10.9 - 265 - 10.7 518 418 244.8 252.7 11.4 11.2 313 197 245.6 254.0 11.4 9.9 - 584	49	
	\$D	6.1	8.2	10.4		230 250.3 10,4 412 250.4 10.6 228 249.9 9.9 73 250.8 10.8 147 254.0 11.6 347 249.4 10.9 267 256.0 11.6 526 254.0 11.3 243 253.7 11.1 561 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 10.9 267 254.0 11.1 561 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 394 254.0 11.6 394 254.0 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 10.9 265 254.0 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 10.9 265 254.0 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 10.9 265 254.0 10.9 265 254.0 11.6	259.5
	N		310	240			13.3
Maunabo	$\frac{\overline{x}}{x}$		2′.2.0	239.8			120
	SD		8.0	9.0			257.4
	N		620	569			12.6
Orocovis	$\overline{\overline{X}}$		231.0	239.9			292
	SD		<u> </u>	8.7		230 .8 250.3 .9 10,4 412 .9 250.4 .1 10.6 228 .0 249.9 .0 9.9 73 8 250.8 8 10.8 147 0 254.0 7 11.6 347 0 249.4 9 10.9 267 4 256.0 9 11.6 526 254.0 11.3 243 253.7 11.1 561 252.9 9.8 186 394 254.0 11.6 162 252.6 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 11.6 162 252.6 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 11.9 265 254.0 11.9 265 254.0 11.9 265 254.0 10.7	251.8
	N		507				10.7
Cabo Rojo	X		235.0	415 245.0			220
	_ SD		8,8	10.8		230 250.3 10,4 412 250.4 10.6 228 249.9 9.9 73 250.8 10.8 147 254.0 11.6 347 249.4 10.9 267 256.0 11.6 526 254.0 11.3 243 253.7 11.1 561 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 394 254.0 11.6 394 254.0 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 11.6	260.3
	N			669	11.9		11.2
Aguadilla	$\frac{x}{x}$		<u>-</u>	243.1	-		-
	SD		_	10.5	-		-
	N			397			
Camuy	X		_	240.9			-
	SD		_	10.0	_	230 250.3 10,4 412 250.4 10,6 228 249.9 9.9 73 250.8 10.8 147 254.0 11.6 347 249.4 10.9 267 256.0 11.6 526 254.0 11.3 243 253.7 11.1 561 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 394 254.0 11.6 10.9 267 254.0 11.1 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 10.9 267 254.0 11.1 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 162 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 162 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 162 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.9 265 254.0 11.6 162 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 162 252.9 9.8 186 253.7 11.1 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 162 252.9 254.0 11.6 162 252.6 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 10.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 10.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 10.7 254.0 10.9 265 254.0 10.7 254.0 9.9 265 254.0 10.7 254.0 9.9 584 250.3	-
	N			835			
Ca ye y	X		-	240.2	_		-
	SD	7.4	_	9.9		230 250.3 10,4 412 250.4 10,6 228 249.9 9.9 73 250.8 10.8 147 254.0 11.6 347 249.4 10.9 267 256.0 11.6 526 254.0 11.3 243 253.7 11.1 561 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 394 254.0 11.6 394 254.0 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 11.6	_
	N	41.5	424	319			
Dorado	\overline{X}		230.6	241.0			158
	SD_	6.9	8.3	10,6			255.9
	N	516	448	424			11.3
Fajardo	\overline{X}	518 228.0 6.7 673 225.8 6.1 487 226.4 6.6 271 227.0 6.1 324 229.2 6.4 647 226.2 5.8 484 231.0 7.6 988 229.3 6.7 531 228.0 7.1 1025 230.6 7.4 415 225.6	233.9	242.1			325
	SD		9.2	10.9			256.6
	N			248			13.1
Guánica	x		_	242.0	<u>-</u>		-
	SD		_	10.9		412 250.4 10.6 228 249.9 9.9 73 250.8 10.8 147 254.0 11.6 347 249.4 10.9 267 256.0 11.3 243 253.7 11.1 561 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 162 252.6 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 11.6 162 252.6 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 11.6	-
	N		372	320			
Gu abo	\overline{X}		231.4	239.7			168
	_SD	_	9.4	9.8			255.9
	N	504		470			11.4
Juncos	\bar{x}		_	236.6	<u>-</u>		-
	SD		_	9.1	_	230 250.3 10,4 412 250.4 10,6 228 249.9 9.9 73 250.8 10.8 147 254.0 11.6 347 249.4 10.9 267 256.0 11.6 526 254.0 11.3 243 253.7 11.1 561 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 10.9 267 254.0 11.1 243 253.7 11.1 261 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 10.9 267 254.0 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 11.6	-
	N		629	482	510		
Manatf	\overline{X}		231.0	240.0			350
	SD		9.0	9.1			254.0
	N		472	421			11.0
Peñuelas	\overline{X}		232.0	241.7			167
-	SD		8.6	10.6			256.8
· =	N			986			11.9
Toa Baja	χ̈̈́		_	240.0	_		-
,	_SD _		_	10.5	_		-
		<u> </u>		10.5		230 250.3 10,4 412 250.4 10,6 228 249.9 9.9 73 250.8 10.8 147 254.0 11.6 347 249.4 10.9 267 256.0 11.6 526 254.0 11.3 243 253.7 11.1 561 252.9 9.8 186 253.1 10.6 394 254.0 11.6 394 254.0 11.6 394 254.0 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 11.7 200 251.4 10.9 265 254.0 11.6	



Table 3

Test Results by District, Grade and Zone English Reading - April 1972

	1	6th. Grade			_7tl	10th. Grade		
District	ľ	ับ	R	T	<u> </u>	R	T	Ŭ
District	N	186	297	483	255	149	404	237
* Comerto	N X	20.7	19.0	19.7	339.5	340.0	339.7	349.8
Comerto	SD	7.35	7.37	7.41	9.70	7.80	9.00	9.20
	N	185	428	613	211	288	499	423
*Corozal	x	18.9	18.6	18.7	339.2	338.1	338.6	349.7
00.024.	SD	8.94	7.47	7.93	9.50	8.60	9.00	9.80
	N	147	268	415	152	172	324	220
*Jayuya	\bar{x}	18.3	16.4	17.0	341.2	339.1	340.1	349.6
1-1-1-	SD	9.09	6.21_	7.41	11.30	9.40	10.40	9.90
_	N	76	146	222	74	66	140	74
*Las Marías	X	24.5	17.2	19.7	340.6	339.1	339.9	347.8
Das manas	SD	9.52	6.95	8.62	9.70	9.20	9.50	8.30
	N	137	156	293	140	87	227	149
* Maunabo	X	21.7	18.8	20.1	338.2	338.8	338.4	356.7
Madilabo	SD	8.30	6.42	7.49	8.30	8.30	8.30	15.30
_	N	128	450	578	155	405	560	349
* Orocovis	$\frac{1}{\overline{X}}$	19.2	20.3	20.0	339.5	341.2	340.8	349.3
- Olocovis	SD_	8.07	7.57	7.69	10.50	8.90	9.40	9.20
	N	131	299	430	231	199	430	274
Cabo Rojo	$\frac{1}{X}$	27.5	24.2	25.2	349.3	345.2	347.4	360.6
Cabo kojo	SD	9.59	9.93	9.94	11.80	10.80	11.50	14.10
	N	318	62 5	943	290	370	660	518
A mun dilla	X	26.4	23.1	24.3	344.5	345.8	345.2	355.9
Aguadilla	SD	10.09	10.00	10.15	10.20	11.50	10.90	13.40
	N	126	304	430	147	250	397	2 46
C	X	27.3	20.4	22.4	344.1	340.2	341.7	353.1
Camuy	SD	10.37	8.76	9.76	9.40	9.30	9.90_	10.70
	N	448	451	899	5 09	328	837	563
G	$\frac{1}{X}$	25.4	21.9	23.6	342.2	341.5	342.0	353.7
Cayey	SD	8.48	8.56	8.70	9.70	10.20	9.90	12.10
	N	102	172	274	163	155	318	185
Donado	$\frac{1}{X}$	21.7	24.8	23.6	340.6	340.1	340.4	352.2
Dorado	SD	10.34	10.00	10.22	11.80	10.90	11.30	11.10
	N	360	97	457	42.4	0	424	395
Paiardo	$\frac{1}{x}$	28.7	25.4	28.0	344.2	0	344.2	358.2
Fajardo	SD	11.11	9.98	10.95	12.60	0	12.60	14.60
		172	122	294	244	0	244	162
Cuinta	$\frac{1}{x}$	29.2	22.6	26.5	346.8	0	346.8	356.9
Guánica	SD	10.62	9.26	10.58	11.10	0	11.10	22.50
	N	103	351	454	287	25	312	204
Cumba	X	21.4	17.9	18.7	340.1	342.1	340.2	351.8
Gurabo	SD	10.97	9.79	10.16	10.60	12.50	10.90	12.40
	N	213	294	507	339	130	469	265
Tungga	$\frac{1}{x}$	20.2	18.3	19.1	339.8	339.5	339.7	353.5
Juncos	SD	9.11	7.98	8.52	10.00	10.30	10.00	10.20
	N	315	386	701	494	56	550	450
Manati	$\frac{1}{X}$	20.4	18.0	19.1	341.1	338.6	340.8	_
	SD	9,40	7.85_	8.66	11.00	11.10	11.10	1 _
	N	145	264	409	211	213	424	202
Posuolae	$\frac{1}{X}$	18.1	24.1	22.0	343.9	346.5	345.2	353.9
Peñuelas	SD	8.34	9.93	9.82	9.30	10.00	9.70	10.30
	N	446	609	1055	604	389	993	580
Ton Poin	$\frac{1}{x}$	25.8	18.0	21.3	344.1	339.5	342.3	i .
Toa Baja	1	11.60	8.31	10.56	14.00	10.60	12,60	I .
	SD	3738	5719	9457	4930	32 82	8212	5496
mak= 1 = =	N X	23.8	20.2	21.6	342.5	341.4	342.0	
Totales	1		,	9.67	10.80	1	11.00	
	SD	10.36	1 0.73	1 3.07		1 10.00	,	






Table 4 - a

Spanish Reading Test

Fourth Grade

Urban Zone

-	1970-	71	1971	-72	Differ		Level o	f
ISTRICT	N	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	N	x	DITIE	euce	Signifi	
	 				+	-	17,	5%
·Orocovis	140	226.0	1 19	225.1		0.9		
*Come rfo	172	227.0	155	228.0	1. 0			
^k Maun abo	125	228.0	120	231.0	3.0		x	
kias Ma rias	54	224.0	64	228.0	4.0		х	
*Jayuy a	138	227.7	143	227. 0		0.7		
C. rozal	169	223.0	188	224.5	1.5			
Camuy	128	230.0	1 19	230.0				
Manati	279	227.1	237	226.2		0.9		
Cayey	490	232.0	462	232.0				
Gurabo	97	228.0	97	231.0	3.0		х	
Fajardo	292	231.0	405	230.5		0.5		
Juncos	194	228.0	193	227.0		1.0		
Agu a dilla	324	229.1	310	228.0		1. 1		,
Cabo Rojo	174	232.1	157	233.7	1.6			
Guánica	151	233.0	192	230.0		3.0	х	
Peffuelas	108	226.5	458	228.0	1.5			
Dorado	113	219.4	107	227.4	8.0		x	
Toa Baja	422	220.3	507	228.9	8.6	1	x	
	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	1		
*Title I die		,			3		n Differ t signific	

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

27

Table 4 -b

SPANISH READING TEST FOURTH GRADE RURAL ZONE

COMPARISON OF TEST SCORES FOR THE YEARS 1971 AND 1972

	1970-7	1	197	1- 72				
DISTRICT	N	\overline{x}	N	\bar{x}		rence		vel of
			N	X	+	-	Sig 1%	nificance
Orocovis	514	227.0	528	226.7		0.3		5%
Comerfo	309	227.0	363	228.0	1.0			
Maunabo	205	226.0	204	228.0	2.0			×
Las Marías	162	225.1	207	226.7	1.6			
Jayuya	344	226.0	344	226.0	0			
Corozal	544	226.9	485	226.0	.9			
Camuy	366	227.0	412	228.0	1.0			
Manatí	383	225.0	378	225.9	0.9			
Cayey	602	228.9	563	229.0	0.1			
Gurabo	300	225.0	252	227.8	2.8		x	
Fajardo	118	228.0	111	226.0		2.0		x
Juncos	320	226.0	311	226.9	0.1	 		
Aguadilla	662	228.0	678	229.9	1.9			x
Cabo Rojo	349	228.2	327	229.9	1.7			x
Guánica	98	227.0	125	. 227.1	0.1			
Peñuelas	350	226.6	282	227.9	1.3			
Dorado	315	224.7	308	225.0	0.3			
Toa Baja	708	225.0	689	225 .9	0.9			

Total Mean Difference 0.93

Not Significant



Table 4 - c

Spanish Reading Test
Fourth Grade
Urban and Rural

	1970-	71	197	1-72			_	
DISTRICT	N	\bar{x}	N	x	Diffe	rence	Level	_
		^	N	X	-	T -	Signif:	cance 5%
*Orocovis	654	227.0	647	226.2	·	0.8		<u> </u>
*Come río	481	227.0	518	228.0	1.0			
Maunabo	330	227.0	324	229.2	2.2			х
as Marfas	216	225.0	271	227.0	2.0			x
Jazuy a	482	226.2	487	226.4	0.2			
krorozal	713	226.0	673	225.8		0.2		
Camuy	494	227.5	531	228.0	0.5			
Madari	662	226.0	615	226.0				
Cayey	1092	230.3	1025	230.0		0.3		
Gerabo	397	225.9	349	228.4	2.5			×
:ajardo	400	230.0	516	229.8		0.2		
Juncos	514	227.0	504	227.0				
Aguadi ¹ la	986	228.0	988	229.3	1.3			
Cabo Rojo	522	230.0	484	231.0	1.0			,
Gu ánica	249	230.0	317	228.0		2.0		×
Peñuelas	458	2 26.6	458	228.0	1.4			
Dorado	428	225.0	415	225.6	0.6			
Toa Baja	1130	226.1	1196	227.0	0.9			
								

*Title I districts

Total Hean Difference 0.56 Not significant



Table 5 - a

Spanish Reading Test
Sixth Grade
Urban Zone

	1970-	71	1971	-72			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				-	Differ	rence	Level o	
DISTRICT	N	x	N	x			Signifi	
. 	 	 			+	-	17,	5%
arocov is	137	23.3	130	22.0		1. 3		
~.ome rio	198	25.4	210	24.8		0.6		
. anabo	130	24. 2	135	26.1	1.9			x
at Harfas	57	25.9	71	25.5		0.4		
л. 7 с ў а	87	25.3	148	24.2	1. 1			
of rozal	154	22. 2	184	23. 2	1. 0			
Canary	109	28.0	124	28.0				
Manatí	205	24.6	305	23.4		1. 2		
Cajey	455	30.0	460	29.7	· ·	0.3		
Gurabo	121	24.8	158	22.4		2. 4		×
Fajardo	309	27.0	359	26.6		0.4		
Juncos	176	25. 2	214	24.7		0.5		
Agu adilla	237	28.4	307	27.7		0. 7		1.
Cibo Rojo	166	29.7	133	28.1		1.6		
Gu ánica	163	27.5	173	27.7	0.2			
Peñuel as	152	24.0	146	24.4	0.4			
Dorado	165	24.1	104	24.0		0.1		
Toa Baja	418	26.0	451	25.4		σ. 6		
			٠		16	tel He	an Diffe	rence

*Title I districts

-0.24 Not significant



SPANISH READING TEST - SIXTH GRADE RURAL ZONE

	1970-	71	197	L-72	<u> </u>			
DISTRICT	N	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$		\bar{x}	Diffe	rence	Level o	
OCSTRICE	N	^	N	X	+	-	Signifi 1%	cance 5%
Orocovis	392	24.6	425	23.8		0.8	1.74	
			}	23.0		0.8		
unerfo	294	23.5	304	23.0		0.5		
ia nabo	131	23.1	157	22.9		0.2		
a Marlas	123	22.3	147	21.6		0.7		
, vya	204	24.3	264	22.8		1.5		
rezal	379	24.2	432	23.2		1.0		
· am :y	287	24.9	307	24.9				
Manati	323	23.6	394	22.1		1.5		
Cayey	353	27.0	457	26.9		0.1		
Gurabo	260	22.7	323	21.4		1.3		
Fajardo	82	22.1	99	25.3	3.2		х	
incos	270	24.0	286	23.5		0.5		
Agu adilla	486	26.1	631	26.3	0.2			
Cabo Rojo	289	27.7	291	26.3		1.4		•
Suănica	62	26.0	126	25.1		0.9		
Peñuelas	229	25.1	262	24.1		1.0		
)orado	179	25.5	169	25.0		0.5		
oa Baj a	52 8	21.0	618	21.3	0.3		ļ	
							n Differ	nce

Title I districts

-0.45 Not significant

Table 5 -c

SPANISH READING TEST - SIXTH GRADE Urban and Rural

**	1970-	-71	197	1-72	1			
DISTRICT	N	X	N	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	Diffe	rence	Level Signif	of icance
	1	* 3	÷	 	+	-	17.	57.
rocovis	529	24.3	555	23.4		0.9		
~Comerfo	492	24.3	514	23.7		0.6		
Maunabo	261	23.7	292	24.4	0.7			
in: Marias	180	23.5	. 8	22.9		0.6		
· k vuya	291	24.6	.,i2	23.3		1.3		
derezal	533	23.6	616	23.2		0.4		
`aouo	396	25.7	431	25.8	0.1			
lacati	528	24.0	699	22.7		1.3		
('avev	808	28.7	917	28.3		0.4		
Gurabo	381	23.3	481	21.7		1.6		
Faj ar do	391	26.0	458	26.4		0.4		
funcos	446	24.5	500	24.0		0.5		
Agu a d illa	723	26.8	93 8	26.8				
abo Rojo	455	28.4	424	26.9		1.5		
Guánica	225	27.1	299	26.6		0.5		
efiue las	381	24.7	408	24.2		0.5		
'orado	344	24.8	273	24.7		0.1		
loa Baj a	946	23.2	1069	23.0	,	U. 2		

Title I districts

Total Mean Difference -0.56 Not significant



Table + - a

ENGLISH READING TEST SIXTH GRADE

URBAN ZONE

	1970)-71	AN ZON 197	1-72	1			
TRICT	N	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	N	\bar{x}	Diffe	rence	Level Signif	
					+	<u> </u>	17.	5%
ocovis	133	18.1	128	19.2	1.1			
er fo	189	18.2	186	20.7	2.5		x	
raabo	129	18.3	137	21.7	3.4		×	
· Marias	571	20.7	76	24.5	4.5		x	
I zuy a	145	17.5	147	18.3	0.8			
oroz al	160	17.8	185	18.9	1.1			
Mary	110	23.7	126	27.3	3.6		x	
ianatf	265	20.0	315	20.4	0.4			
Cayey	462	24.1	448	25.4	1.3			·
larabo	124	19.6	103	21.4	1.8			
ajardo	316	27.8	360	28.7	0.9			
Tincos	179	19.9	213	20.2	0.3			
iguadi 11a	235	24.9	318	26.4	1.5			
labo Rojo	166	26.9	131	27.5	0.6			,
uánic a	167	27.1	172	29.2	2.1			x
effuel as	148	19.9	145	18. 1		1.8	!	
orado	168	21.8	102	21.7	,	0.1		
oa Ba ja	421	25.0	446	25.8	0.8			
			<u> </u>					

*Title I districts

Total Mean Difference 1.5 Not significant



Table 6-b ENGLISH READING TEST SIXTH CRADE

RURAL ZONE

1970-	71	1971	1-72				
N	x	N	$\bar{\mathbf{x}}$			Signifi	cance
			 	+	-	17.	5%
105	20.1	450	20.3	0.2			
281	18.3	297	19.0	0.7			
123	19.1	156	18.8		0.3		
128	17.8	146	17.2		0.6		
185	17.8	268	16.4		1.4		
375	19.1	428	18.6		0.5		
288	20.6	304	20.4		0.2		
375	17.7	386	18.0	0.3			
349	22.2	451	21.9		0.3		1
269	20.8	351	17.9		2.9	x	
83	19.5	97	25.4	5.9		x	
266	19.0	294	18.3		0.7		
506	24.0	625	23.1		0.9		
285	25.0	299	24.2		0.8		,
62	19.8	122	22.6	2.8		×.	
227	20.2	264	24.1	3.9		x	
181	18.9	172	24.8	5.9		×	
526	17.1	609	18.0	0.9			
	N 405 281 123 128 185 375 288 375 349 269 83 266 506 285 62 227 181	405 20.1 281 18.3 123 19.1 128 17.8 185 17.8 375 19.1 288 20.6 375 17.7 349 22.2 269 20.8 83 19.5 266 19.0 506 24.0 285 25.0 62 19.8 227 20.2 181 18.9	N X N 405 20.1 450 281 18.3 297 123 19.1 156 128 17.8 146 185 17.8 268 375 19.1 428 288 20.6 304 375 17.7 386 349 22.2 451 269 20.8 351 83 19.5 97 266 19.0 294 506 24.0 625 285 25.0 299 62 19.8 122 227 20.2 264 181 18.9 172	N \$\overline{x}\$ N \$\overline{x}\$ 405 20.1 450 20.3 281 18.3 297 19.0 123 19.1 156 18.8 128 17.8 146 17.2 185 17.8 268 16.4 375 19.1 428 18.6 288 20.6 304 20.4 375 17.7 386 18.0 349 22.2 451 21.9 269 20.8 351 17.9 83 19.5 97 25.4 266 19.0 294 18.3 506 24.0 625 23.1 285 25.0 299 24.2 62 19.8 122 22.6 227 20.2 264 24.1 181 18.9 172 24.8	N \bar{x} N \bar{x} \bar{plffet} 405 20.1 450 20.3 0.2 281 18.3 297 19.0 0.7 123 19.1 156 18.8 128 17.8 146 17.2 185 17.8 268 16.4 375 19.1 428 18.6 288 20.6 304 20.4 375 17.7 386 18.0 0.3 349 22.2 451 21.9 269 20.8 351 17.9 83 19.5 97 25.4 5.9 266 19.0 294 18.3 506 24.0 625 23.1 285 25.0 299 24.2 62 19.8 122 22.6 2.8 227 20.2 264 24.1 3.9 181 18.9 172 24.8 <	N \overline{X} N \overline{X} Difference $+$ $ +$ $+$	N \overline{X} N \overline{X} Difference Signifi H = 1X Level of Signifi H = 1X 405 20.1 450 20.3 0.2 281 18.3 297 19.0 0.7 123 19.1 156 18.8 0.3 128 17.8 146 17.2 0.6 185 17.8 268 16.4 1.4 375 19.1 428 18.6 0.5 288 20.6 304 20.4 0.2 375 17.7 386 18.0 0.3 349 22.2 451 21.9 0.3 269 20.8 351 17.9 2.9 x 83 19.5 97 25.4 5.9 x 266 19.0 294 18.3 0.7 506 24.0 625 23.1

*Title I districts

0.69 Not significant



Table U-C

ENGLISH READING TEST

SIXTH GRADE URBAN AND RURAL

~~· ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	197	0-71	197	1-72	7			
DISTRICT	N	x	N	x	L	rence	Level Signif	
				 	+	-	17.	5%
* rocovis	538	19.6	578	20.0	0.4			
kCome río	470	18.3	483	19.7	1.4			
'aunabo	252	18.7	29 3	20.1	1.4			
as Marfas	185	18.7	222	19.7	1.0			
ia ruya.	330	1 7. 7	415	17.0		0.7		
rozal	535	18.7	613	18.7	-	-		
'amuy	398	21.5	430	22.4	0.9			
Manati	640	18.6	701	19.1	0.5			
Cayey	811	23.3	899	23.6	0.3			
Gu rabo	393	20.4	454	18.7		1.7		
Faj ar do	399	26.0	457	28.0	2.0			×
Juncos	445	19.4	507	19.1	0.3			
Aguadilla	741	24.3	943	24.3	-	-		
labo Rojo	451	25.7	430	25.2		0.5		
Ouánica Peñuelas	229	25.1	294	26.5	1.4		,	
Porado	375	20.1	409	22.0	1.9			
roa Baja	349	20.3	274	23.6	3.3.		x	
wa baja	947	20.6	1,055	21.3	0.7			
				<u></u>	-	No.	Neter	

*Title I districts

Total Hean Difference 0.75 Not significant



Table 7 - a

SPANISH READING TEST

COMPARISON OF TOTAL MEAN SCORES BY SCHOOL DISTRICTS BETWEEN THE YEARS 1971 AND 1972 - SEVENTH GRADE

URBAN ZONE

	1970		19:	71-72	3			
DISTRICT	N	x	N	\overline{x}	Diffe	rence	Level of Signif	
					+	-	17.	5%
*c'rocovis	162	239.0	161	239.1	0.1			
merío	191	240.9	255	240.0		0.9		
Maunabo	122	240.0	149	240.0				
Las Marías	67	240.0	74	243.6	3.6			x
arnya	142	242.4	151	240.6		1.8		
Corozal	231	237.2	229	236.3		0.9		ж
Camuy	160	242.6	146	243.9	1.3			
Manatf	49 3	240.0	426	240.1	0.1			
Cayey	508	240.9	510	240.0		0.9		
Grabo	313	237.6	287	240.0	2.4			х
Fajardo	358	243.8	424	242.1		1.7		
Juncos	29.8	240.1	339	235.9		4.2		x
Agu adilla	248	244.4	293	244.0		0.4		
Cabo Rojo	216	244.8	227	245.9	1.1			·
Guánica	304	241.1	248	242.0	0.9			
Peffuelas	160	241.2	203	240.0		1.2		
Dorado	148	239.3	163	240.9	1.6.			
Toa Ba ja	527	240.4	597	240.8	0.4			
	<u> </u>		<u></u>					

*Title I districts

Total Hean Difference 0.05 Not significant



Table___i - b

SPANISH READING TEST

COMPARISON OF TOTAL MEAN SCORES BY SCHOOL DISTRICTS BETWEEN THE YEARS 1971 and 1972 - SEVENTH GRADE

___ RURAL ZONE

	1970	-71		71-72	1			
DISTRICT	N	\overline{x}	,,	x	Diffe	rence	Level	
		^	N	X	+	1 -	Signif 1%	icance 5%
Orocovis	393	239.5	408	240.0	0.5		1./6	3%
Comerio	154	238.1	154	237.7		0.4		
Maunab o	92	237.1	91	238.2	1.1			
.as Marfas	7 1	237.2	67	238.5	1.4			
k jayey a	143	238.5	171	240.0	1,5			
Morozal	185	238.2	282	238.9	0.7			
(Ami v	229	240.0	251	240.0				
.lanatf	79	237.6	56	235.9		1.7		
Cayey	308	243.0	325	241.5		1.5		
Curavo	37	236.0	33	236.0				
Faj a rd o	No d at a							
Juncos	130,	240.0	131	238.5		1.5		
Agu a df lla	358	241.2	376	242.4	1.2			
(abo Rojo	179	242,6	188	244.0	1.4			1
Cuánica	No data							
Pefiuel as	188	238.4	218	243.3	4.9		x	
corado	143	238.8	156	241.1	2.3			x
ка Ва ја	313	238.7	389	238.4		0.3		
		 		1	700	1 100	Differ	

Title I districts

Total Hean Difference 0.48 Not significant



Table 7 - c

SPANISH READING TEST

SEVENTH GRADE URBAN AND RURAL

	1970	-71	197	1-72				
7.000	.,	$\bar{\mathbf{x}}$	N	\bar{x}	Diffe	rence	Level o Signifi	
11STRICT	N	, X		Α	+	1 •	17,	5%
pocovis	554	239.4	569	239.9	0.5			
Comerio	345	240.0	409	239.7		0.3		
runabo	214	238.8	240	239.8	1.0			
. < Marfas	138	238.3	141	240.7	2.4			x
i tva	285	240.0	322	240.2	0. 2			
r. zal	416	237.6	511	237.7	0, 1			
ar ay	389	241.1	397	240.9		0.2		
Manatf	572	240.0	482	240.0				
Cayey	816	41.7	835	240.2		1.5		
Gurabo	350	237.4	320	239.7	2.3			ж
Fajardo	358	243.8	424	242.1		1.7		
Juncos	428	240.0	470	236.0		4.0	х	х
A ;uadilla	606	242.5	669	243.1	0.6			
Cibo Rojo	39 5	243.8	415	245.0	1.2			
Cuánica	304	241.1	248	242.0	0.9			
Penuelas	348	240.0	421	241.7	1.7			
Dorado	29 1	239.1	319	241.0	1.9			
ica Ba ja	840	240.0	986	240.0				
					 	2421 1/2	an Diffe	FARCA

Title I districts

Total Hean Difference 0.30 Not significant



Table 8 a

ENGLISH READING TEST - SEVENTH GRADE URBAN ZONE

·	1970-71 1971-72				Differ		Level of		
DISTRICT	N	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	N	$\bar{\mathbf{x}}$	Differ	ence	Signific		
					+	-	17,	5%	
*Orocovis	157	341.0	155	339.5		1.5			
'Comer fo	191	342.0	255	339.5		2.5			
'Mau nabo	120	339.0	140	338.2		0.8			
·las Marías	66	339.3	74	340.6	1.3				
* layuya	140	344.8	152	341.2		3.6			
"Corozal	191	339.2	211	339.2					
Camuy	161	346.3	147	344.1		2.2		x	
'lanat1	495	341.2	494	341.1		0.1			
Cayey	511	342.6	509	342.2		0.4			
Curabo	281	338.9	287	340.1	1.2				
fa jardo	362	346.1	424	344.2		1.9		х	
Jincos	298	339.4	339	339.8	0.4	1			
Agu adilla	247	345.5	290	344.5		1.0			
aho Rojo	217	347.7	231	349.3	1.6				
Guánica	289	345.0	244	346.8	1.8				
Peñue las	162	342.9	211	343.9	1.0				
borado	151	339.5	163	340.6	1.1.				
Toa Ba ja	527	345.3	604	344.1		1.2			
				4	10	tel He	an Differ	ence	

*Title I districts

-0.025 Not significant



Table 8b

ENGLISH READING TEST SEVENTH GRADE RURAL ZONE

	1970-	71						
DISTRICT	N	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	N	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	Differ		Level o	cance
	 				+	-	17.	5%
tO ocovis	385	340.7	405	341.2	0.5			
*Comerfo	160	337.8	149	340.0	2.2			x
Maunabo	98	342.4	87	338.8		3.6	х	
*L a s Ma rias	67	336.3	66	339.1	2.8		x	
*Javuy a	145	338.9	172	339.1	0.2			
*Corozal	273	337.9	288	338.1	0.2			
Camuy	231	340.2	250	340.2				
Man ati	78	336.7	56	338.6	1.9			x
Cayev	309	342.2	328	341.1		1.1		
G·rabo	37	339.3	25	342.1	2.8		х	
Pa j ardo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Juncos	131	339.3	130	339.5	0.2			
Aguadilla	337	344.1	370	345.8	1.7			
Cabo Rojo	179	345.3	199	341.2		4.1	x	•
Cuánica	0	-	-	-	-			
Peñue las	182	341.3	213	346.5	5.2		x	
Horado	142	337.8	155	340.1	2.3			x
Toa Ba ja	313	338.3	389	339.5	1.2			

*Title I districts

Total Mean Difference 0.69 Not Significant



Table 8-c

ENGLISH READING TEST

SEVENTH GRADE URBAN AND RURAL

	1970	-71	197	l-72	1						
DISTRICT	N	x	N	x	Differ	ence	Level o Signifi				
		ļ <u>.</u>	·		+	-	17,	5%			
Orecov is	542	340.8	560	340.80	-	-	-	-			
Comer io	351	340.1	404	339.70	-	0.4	-	-			
Maunabo	218	340.3	227	338.40	-	1.9	-	-			
las Marias	133	337.8	140	339.90 2.10		-	-	x			
Jayuy a	285	341.8	324	340.10	-	1.70	-	-			
Corozal	464	338.4	499	338.60	0.2	-	-	-			
Camuy	392	342.7	397	341.70	-	1.00	-	-			
Manati	573	340.6	550	340.80	0.2	-	-	-			
Cayey	820	342.5	837	342.00	-	0.5	-	-			
Gurabo	318		312	340.20	1.30	-	-	-			
rajardo	362	346.1	424	344.20	-	1.9	-	-			
iunc o s	429	339.4	469	339.70	0.3		-	-			
Agu a dilla	584	344.7	660	345.20	0.5	-	-				
Cabo Rojo	3 96	346.6	430	347.70	1.10	-	-	-			
Guánica	289	345.0	244	346.80	1.80	-	-	-			
Peñuelas	344	342,1	424	345.20	3.10	-	x	х			
porado	293	338.7	318	340.40	1.70	-	-	-			
ioa Baj a	840	342.7	993	342.30	-	0.4	-	-			
					· Work	21 1/00	DI FFA				

*Title I districts

Total Hean Difference
0.25 Not Significant



Table 9
Spanish Reading Test
Tenth Grade

	1970-	-71	197	1-72				
ISTRICT	N	X	N	\bar{x}	Diffe	rence	Level of Signifi	
					+		1%	57
vrecovis	347	251.1	347	249. 4		1. 7		
Comerfo	239	251.8	230	250.3		i. 5		
My nabo	131	253.4	147	254.0	0.6			
w Marias	53	253.4	73	250.8		2.6	x	
eguya	253	252.6	228	249.9		2. 7	x	
the rozal	357	250.0	412	250.4	0.4			
Camuy	184	253.9	243	253. 7		0.2		
Man ati	295	249.1	418	252. 7	3.6		×	
Cayey	258	254.4	561	252.9		1.5		
Gurabo	186	251. 7	200	251. 4		0.3		
Fajardo	350	254.5	394	254.0		0.5		
Juncos	237	252.5	265	254.0	1. 5			
Aguad illa	256	254.0	526	254.0				
Cabo Rojo	230	255.8	267	256.0	0.2			
Gu ánica	174	253.1	16 2	252.6		0.5		
Pefiuelas			197	254.0				
Dorado	18 1	253. 2	186	253.1		0.1		
Toa Baj a	465	251.0	584	250.3		0.7		
	<u></u>						n Differ	

*Title I districts

-0.33 (Not significant)



Table 10
English Reading Test
Tenth Grade

DISTRICT	N	x	N	x	Diffe	rence	Level Signif	
		-	 	ļ	+		17.	5%
rocovis	337	351.2	349	349.3		1.9		×
Come río	235	349.7	237	349.8	0.1			
aunabo	139	351,4	149	356.7	5.3		X	
ws Marias	57	350.4	74	347.8	347.8		×	
lavuya	250	350.8	220	349.6		0.2		
Coroza1	367	349.8	423	349.7		0.1		
Camuy	185	353.6	246	353.1		0.5		
Manati	261	349.5	450	351.6	2.1			
Cayey	563	355.0	563	353.7		1.3		
Gurabo	187	353.2	204	351.8	.8 1.4			
Faja r do	315	356.4		358.2	1.8			
Juncos	237	357.8	265	353.5		4.3	×	
Agu a di lla	521	356.6	518	355.9		0.7		
Cabo Rojo	244	360.3	274	360.6	0.3			`
Cuánica	173	353.6	162	356.9	3.3		×	
Peñu elas	205	353.7	202	353.9	0.2			
Dorado	181	351.5	185	35 2. 2	0.7 :			
Toe Baja	461	353.8	580	355.7	1.9	,		×
	1		ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		16	tal Hea	n Differ	rence



0.2 Not significant

Table 11-A Spanish Reading Test Comparison of Test Scores for the Same Group of Students in Two Consecutive Years Urban Zone

	<u>: 1970-7</u>	71 - 4th	: 1971-	72 -5th :						
DISTRICT	:	: : X	:	: <u>: Diff</u> : X : /	erence		of Significanc			
	: N	<u>: ^ </u>	: N_	<u>: </u>	 -	: 1%	<u>: 5%</u>			
*Orocovis	: 140	: 226.0	: 278	:233.4 : 7.4	:	: X	:			
* Comer i o	: : 172	: : 227.0	: : 200	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	: :	: : X	: :			
*Mrunabo	: : 125	: : 228.0	: : 118	: : :235.0 : 7.0	: :	: : X	: :			
*Las Mar i as	: : 54	: : 224.0	: ; 63	: : :233.0 : 9.0	: :	: : X	: :			
*Jayuya	: : 138	: : 227.7	: : 149	: : : 230.9:3.2	: :	: : X	: :			
*Corozal	: : 169	: : 223.0	: : 216	: : :228.0 : 5.0	:	: : X	: :			
Manati T	: : 279	: : 227.1	: : 278	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	: :	: : X	: :			
Gurabo	: : 97	: : 228.0	: : 106	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	:	: : X	: :			
Fa jardo	: : 282	: : 231.0	: : 332	: : : 235.0:4.0	: :	: : X	: :			
Cabo Rojo	: : 174	: : 232.1	: : 165	: : : 238.0:5.9	:	: : X	: :			
Peñuelas	: : 108	: : 226.5	: : 155	: : : 231.0: 4.5	: :	: : X	: :			
Dorado	: : 113	: : 219.4	: : 174	: : :232.5 :13.1	:	: : X	: :			
	:	:	:	: :	:	:	:			

*Title I districts

6.4 Significant





Table 11-b

Spanish Reading Test

Comparison of Test Scores for the same Groups of Students in two consecutive years

Rural Zone

	197	0-71 4th	Rural 2	one 1-72 ^{5th}	-1				
DISTRICT	N N	X			Diffe	rence	Leve Signif	l of icance	
			N	X	+	T -	1%	5%	_
*Orocovis	514	227.0	472	2 32	5.0		х		
%.Comerfo	309	227.0	317	231.7	4.7		x		
*Maunabo	205	226.0	192	231.0	5.0		X		
las M aría s	162	225.1	143	231.0	5.9		x		
* l a yuy a	1 1	226.0	288	230.5	4.5		x		
Corozal	544	226.9	529	231.9	5.0		Х		
Amat[383	225 0	351	229.2	4.2		х		
Gurabo	300	225.0	266	231.0	6.0		х		
Fajardo	118	228.0	116	231.9	3.9		х		
Cabo Rojo	348	228.2	342	233.0	4.8		Х		
Pefiuelas	350	226.6	317	232.3	5.7		х		
Dorado	315	224.7	529	231.9	7.2		Х		

*Title I Districts

Total Mean Difference 5.15 Significant at 1% level



Table 12-A

Spanish Reading Test

Comparison of Test Scores for the Same Groups of Students

Urpan Zone

1970-71 -7th 1971-72-8th DISTRICT : Difference: Level of Significance $\bar{\mathsf{X}}$ Ν $\bar{\mathsf{X}}$ Ν 1% : 5% : *Orocovis 162 239.0 150 :245.1 : 6.1 Х : *Comerio 191 240.9 168 :246.2 : 5.3 Х *Maunabo 122 240.0 114 :246.2 : 5.3 Х *Las Martas 67 240.0 58 :247.8 : 7.8 X *Jayuya : 142 242.4 126 :247.3:4.9 Х *Corozal : 231 237.2 :242.8:5.6 216 Х Manatī 240.0 : 493 :245.0:5.0 435 Х Gurabo : 313 : 237.6 : 224 :242.1:4.5 Х Fajardo : 358 : 243.8 345 :248.5:4.7 Х Cabo Rojo : 216 : 244.8 : 202 :249.9:5.1 Х Peñuelas : 160 : 241.2 : 161 :246.9 . 5.7 X Dorado :243.8:4.5 148 :239.3 : 151 Χ

*Title I districts

Total Mean Difference 5.45 Significant at 1% level



Table 12 h

SPANISH READING TEST

COMPARISON OF TEST SCORES FOR THE SAME GROUP OF STUDENTS IN TWO CONSECUTIVE YEARS RURAL ZONE

	197	NE 1-72 8th			Level	<u> </u>		
DISTRICT	N	\overline{X}	N	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	Differ	ence	Signific	ance
	 			+ ^ -	+	-	1%	5%_
*Orocovis	392	239.5	333	244.5	5.0		x	
*Comerio	154	238.1	157	242.1	4.0		x	
*Maunabo	92	237.1	73	241.8	4.7		x	
*Las Ma rí as	71	237.2	59	240.8	3.6		x	
*Jayuya	143	238.5	136	242.4	3.9		×	
*Corozal	185	238.2	245	241.1	2.9		x	
M a nat i	79	240.0	83	240.0	0			
Gurabo	37	236.0	32	240.3	4.3		x	
Fajardo								
Cabo Rojo	179	242.6	145	249.0	6.4		x	
Peñ@elas	188	238.4	152	245.0	6.6		x	
D ora d o	143	238.8	138	242.8	3.0		x	

*Title I Districts

Total Mean Difference

3.7 Significant at 1% level



Table 13

SPANISH READING TEST COMPARISON OF TEST SCORES FOR THE SAME GROUPS OF STUDENTS IN TWO CONSECUTIVE YEARS

	197	0-71 10th	19	71-72 11th	1		
DISTRICT	N	\overline{x}	N	\overline{x}	Difference	Signifi	ance
					+ -	1%	5%
*Orocovis	347	251.1	2 92	251.8	0.7		
*Comerio	239	251.8	178	254.0	2.2	,	x
*Maunabo	131	253.4	120	257.4	4.0	x	
*Las Marfas	53	253.4	47	259.5	6.1	x	
*J a yuya	253	252.6	224	255.7	3.1	x	
*Corozal	357	250.0	313	254.0	4.0	x	
Manatí	295	249.1	350	254.0	4.9	x	
Gurabo	1 86	251.7	168	255.9	4.2	· x	
Fa j ar do	350	254.5	325	256.6	2.1		х
Cabo Rojo	230	255.8	220	260.3	4.5	x	
Peñuelas	-	-	167	256.8			
Dorado	181	253.7	158	255.9	2.7	x	
	i					<u> </u>	

*Title I districts

Total Mean Difference

3.20 Significant at 1% level





MEANS OF THE 4th GRADE ON THE SPANISH READING TEST ADMINISTERED IN APRIL, 1972 DIFFERENCE OF THE

TABLE 14

		1				- T		 1			-	
Test of signi-	Hicance C-R	1. 46 57.	er menter kuldtelske desplesje	N. S.		1%		1%		1%		. i %
	on	$\frac{X_1 - X_2}{SE_C}$		0.399		12. 466		9. 479		4.041		10. 485
	comparis	${ m SE}_{ m c}$		0,502		0.305		0, 506		0.297		0.258 10.485
	Statistics for comparison	$\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2$		0.200		3,800		4.800		1, 200		2. 700
	Statis	ž		2920		7398		3751		6567		10318
1						1		1	i			
	s):	SE	0.441	. 0. 238	0.248	0.177	0.441	0.248	0, 238	0.177	0. 211	0.148
	Basic Statistics	Mean	26.3	26.1	31. 1	27.3	26.3	31.1	26.1	27.3	26.1	28.8
	Ba	SD	12, 4	11.0	13.5	11.8	12. 4	13, 5	11.0	11.8	11. 4	12. 7
		Т									2920	7398
Z	ZONE	R		2131		4436			2131	4436		
		D	789		2962		789	2962				
	A	BETWEEN	6 Tit. I Districts		12 Non Tit. I	49	Ç[6 Tit. I Districts	12 Non Tit. I	6 Tit, I Districts	12 Non Tit. I	6 Tit. I Districts	12 Non Tit. I



TABLE 15 DIFFERENCE OF THE MEANS OF THE 5th GRADE ON THE SPANISH

	1972
	APRIL
1	Z
THE THE PARTY OF T	STERED
	ADMINI
1	TEST
7	READING TEST ADMINISTERED IN APRIL 1972

	<u></u>			 	 						· · · · · · ·				<u>j</u>
Test	of signi	ficance	C-R	1.96	 	No		1%			1%		No		11%
			uo	$\frac{X_1-X_2}{SE_c}$		1. 259		9.487			7,000		1,537		5_0211%
			omparis	SEc		0.556		0. 569			0,616		0.458		0,378
			Statistics for comparison	\overline{x}_{1} - \overline{x}_{2}		0. 700	# A T - 11 - 11	5. 400			5. 400		0, 700		1,900
			Statie	z		2835		2852			2104		3583		5687
				SE	0.468	0.300	454	343				0.300	0.343	254	0.281
				S	 0	0.	ó	0		-		o	•	Ö	0
***************************************			Statistics	Mean	33.7	34.4	39.1	33, 7	33 7	-	39.1	34, 4	33, 7.	34.1	36,0
			Basic	SD	14.0	13. 2	15.8	13, 9	0	2	15.8	13. 2	13.9	13.5	15.0
-				T										2835	2852
	Z	4	Zone	24		1941		1642				1941	1642		
			• •	11	894		1210			074	1210				
				Z E E E E E E E	6 Tit. I Districts		12 Non Tit. I	2)	60	o lit, i Districts	12 Non Ťit. I	6 Tit. I Districts	12 Non Tit. I	6 Tit. I Districts	12 Non Tit. I



JABLE 16 DIFFERENCE OF THE MEANS OF THE 6th GRADE ON THE SPANISH READING (Battery) TEST ADMINISTERED IN APRIL, 1972

Test	signi- ficance	1. 96 5%						•••••				
Te	signi- ficance	 			-					30		1%_
	son	$\frac{x_1-x_2}{SE_c}$		3, 263		9,698		6.545		4. 585		8, 287
	compari	SEc		0, 337		0. 217		0.321		0.240		0.193
	Statistics for comparison	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2$,	1, 100		2, 100		2, 100		1.100		1,600
	Stati	N _t		2607		2689		3812		5692		9504
	1											
	10	SE	0,273	0.197	0.168	0.137	0, 273	0. 168	0.197	0,137	0, 161	0, 107
	Basic Statistics	Mean	24, 2	23.1	26.3	24. 2	24. 2	26.3	23.1	24. 2	23.5	25.1
	Basi	SD	8.1	8.2	9.1	8.6	8.1	9.1	8.2	9.8	2.8	8.9
		Т				•					2607	2689
Z	Zone	Ж		1729		3963			1729	3963	:	
		U	878		2934		878	2934				
		BETWEEN	6 Tit. I Districts		12 Non Tit. I	5.7	To Tit. I Districts	12 Non Tit. I.	6 Tit. I Districts	12 Non Tit. I	6 Tit. I Districts	12 Non Tit. I



DIFFERENCE OF THE MEANS OF THE 7th GRADE ON THE SPANISH READING TEST ADMINISTERED IN APRIL 1972 Table 17

r												
Test of signi-	ficance	1.96				:		19%		10%		1%
		$\frac{X_1 - X_2}{SEc}$		0.975		0.590		3.956		5.083		6.649
	Statistics for comparison	SEc		0.513		0.339		0.455		0.413		0.301
	cs for co	X ₁ -X ₂	w	0.500		0.200		1.800		2.100		2.000
	Statisti	, K		2192		5986		4882		3296		8178
	CS.	SE	0,404	0.315	0.209	0.267	0.404	0.209	0.315	0.267	0.252	0.164
	STATISTICS	Mean	33.9	33.4	35.7	35.5	33.9	7	33.4	35.5	33.6	35.6
	BASIC	SD	12.9	10.8	13.0	12.3	12.9	13.0	10.8	12.3	11.8	12.7
		Т									2612.	5986
2	ZONE	R.		1173		2123			1173	2123		
		u.	1019		3863		9101	3863				
		BETWEEN	6 Title I Districts		12 NON-Title I Districts		62 1	12 NON-Title I Districts	. 6 Title 1 Jistricts	12 NON-Title I Districts	6 Title I Districts	12 NON-Title I Districts

Table 18

DIFFERENCE OF THE MEANS OF THE 8th GRADE ON THE SPANISH READING TEST ADMINISTERED IN APRIL 1972

37.60 0.395	14.5	832 i3.5 1518 14.5 1003 12.5 550 13.4
13.1 39.2 0.306 14.2 41.6 0.312	(i	{ i



ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

DIFFERENCE OF THE MEANS OF THE 10th GRADE ON THE SPANISH READING TEST ADMINISTERED IN APRIL 1972

. ب	i- nce	; 5				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,		
Test	signi- ficanc	1.70							; 		
		×1 ×2		7.299					!		# h
	mparison	SEC		0.370							
	s for co	$\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x}$		2.700							
	Statistic	JN.		5440						n-annous e e contribucação	
							1				
	S	ЭS	0.309	0.204							
	BASIC STATISTICS	Mean	30.5	33.2							
	BASIC	SD	11.7	12.9							
		Ţ									
Z	ZONE	R			-						
	Z	Ü.	1437	4003							
		BETWEEN	6 Title I Districts	12 NON-Title I Districts			64		·		

DIFFERENCE OF THE MEANS OF THE 11TH GRADE SPANISH READING TEST ADMINISTERED IN APRIL 1972

ERIC Full fext Provided by ERIC

Tost	Sigr f.car.ce	× 3 (×						
		SE C		4.527				Provided society in		;
	or coarpar	SE		0.546						
	Statistics for comparient	× ₁ - × ₂		2.200						
	Sta	× t		2564						
		SE	0.397	0.376	ì					
	SUCS		0					 		
	Basic Statistics	Mean	35.0	37.2						
1	Bë	SD	13,6	14.0						
		Т.								
Z	ZONE	ж.								
		Ü.	1176	1388		-				
		BETWEEN	6 Title I Districts	6 Non Title I Dist.		55	6 5			

. . . .

DIFFERENCE OF THE MEANS OF THE <u>6TH</u> GRADE ON THE ENGLISH READING (Battery) TEST

Table 21

ficar.ce C - 28 Signi-1.96 أخ 1- • %: lest o√ ⊙ % **†** 6.196 17.385 13.004 9.967 15.696 4.685 SEC Statistics for companison 0.23 0.248 0.354 0.342 SE 3.400 4.600 2.300 $\mathbf{X}_1 - \mathbf{X}_2$ 3.900 1.600 9457 5719 3738 6853 2804 2 0.153 0.122 0.1980.173 0.151 0.293 0.198 0.151 C.293 0.173 SE Basic Statistics 20.9 19.2 25.6 24.8 18.6 24.8 20.9 20.3 18.6 20.2 Mean 7.8 10.1 9.5 8.6 10.6 9.8 10.6 9.5 SD 7.3 8.6 AUMINISTERED IN APRIL 1972 2604 6853 1745 3974 3974 1745 ZONE Z. α 859 853 2 Non Title I Dist, 2879 2879 5 Non Title I Dist. 6 Title I Districts 6 Title I Districts 6 Title I District Non Title I Dist 6 Title I lasmon 12 Nor. Title I Dist GG SE TWEEN 56



DIFFERENCE OF THE MEANS OF THE ZIH GRADE ON THE ENGLISH READING TEST ADMINISTERED

Table 22

IN APRIL 1972

tic ance -inpit fest % ~~ 29 1 C- P ; , 1.5.13 0.446 9.746 2.882 Statistics for comparisor 0.407 0.369 0.356 0.251 0.312 SE 3.200 $\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2$ 0.100 0.900 2.600 3.600 2154 8212 6058 4930 3282 0,152; 0.200 0.258 0.1930.258 0.246 0.315 0.193 0.246 0.315 S Basic Statistics 24.7 27,9 24.7 27.3 28.2 27,3 24.6 28.2 24.7 Mear 24.6 9.3 11.8 8.8 ж 8 6.6 6.6 11.3 11.3 12.1 12.1 SD 6058 2154 ۲ 2115 1167 2115 1167 ZONE Z α 987 3943 987 3943 ; : 6 Title I Districts 6 Title I Districts 12 Non Title I Dist. 6 Title I Districts 12 Non Title I Dist. 2 Non Title I Dist. 6 Title I Districts 12 Non Title I Dist. BETWEEN 5**7**

and any series of the contract of the contract

DIFFERENCE OF THE MEANS OF THE 17TH GRADE ON THE ENGLISH READING TEST ADMINISTERED

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

IN A! RI! 1972

Table 23

Stanstics for compa 0.311 3.900 5496 0.247 0.189 were Statistics Mean 28.0 24.1 SD 12.0 9.4 . H ZONE :: Ξ. 1452 4044 . ر: 6 Title I Distnets 12 Non Title I Dist, PFTWEEN 58

TABLE 24

Interquartile Ranges of Test Scores Spanish Reading

Grades 4, 7 and 10

DISTRICTS	: 4th	: 7th	: 10th
	: Q1 : Q2 : C	23 : Q4 :Q1 : Q2 : Q3 :	Q4 : Q1 :Q2 : Q3 : Q4
*Orocovis	1 ' '	.57 : 90 : 146 : 168 : 151 :	•
		4.3:13.9:25.7:29.5:26.5:	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	: : : : :	: : : :
*Comerio	N :129 : 137 :13	39 :113 :113 :120 :104 :	72 : 82 : 54 : 57 : 37
	% :24.9 :26.4 : 2	6.8:21.8:27.6:29.3 25.4:3	17.6 :35.7:23.5:24.8:16.1
	} : : :	: : : : :	: : : :
*Maunabo		97 : 85 : 65 : 72 : 59 :	
	% :17.9 : 25.9 : 2 ⁶	9.9:26.2:27.1:30.0:24.6:1	
*Las Marías	N . 00 41	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	: : : :
*Las Marias	N: 88: 61:	64 : 58 : 40 : 32 : 33 : 3.6 :23.6 :28.4 :22.7 :23.4 :2	
	70 :32.3:22.3 :2.	: : : : : : :	: : : : : :
*Jayuya	N : 180 : 106 : 11		
0 2 / 4 / 4		2.6:1°.7:26.1:25.8:25.8:	
		: : : :	: : : :
*Corozal	N : 292 : 163 :	122 : 96 : 194 : 114 : 114 :	89 : 131 : 119 : 90 : 72
	% :43.4:24.2:18	3.1 :14.3:38.0:22.3:22.3:	17.4 :31.8 :28.9:21.8: 17.
		: : : :	: : : :
Camuy	N : 126 : 136 : 14		96 : 57 : 59 : 61 : 66
	1 '	7.7:23.0:24.2:23.4:28.2:	
34	N 240 141 1		10/ 110 107 8/ 105
Manatí		34 : 92 : 137 : 113 : 126 :	
	% :40.3:22.9 :2	1.8:15.0:28.4:23.4:26.1:	
Cayey	N · 136 · 224 · 3	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	-
Cayey	1	1.8 :33.1 :26.5 :26.0 : 23.5 :	
		: : : : :	: : : :
Gurabo	N: 86: 80:	91 : 92 : 99 : 75 : 70 :	76 : 66 : 47 : 44 : 43
	% 24.6:22.9:2	6.1 : 26.4:30.9 : 23.4: 21.9 :	23.8 : 33.0:23.5: 22.0: 21.5
	: : :	: : : : : :	: : : : :
Fajardo	N : 115 : 122 : 1		
	1 7	1.5 :32.6 : 23.3 : 22.2 : 25.0 :	
Juncos	N :170 :129 : 1	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	: : : : : 59 : 50 : 67 : 73 : 75
Juncos	•	2. 2 :18.5 :46.8 : 21.5 : 19.1 :	
	// : : : :	3 1 1 1 1 1	: : : : :
Aguadılla		86 : 295 : 139 : 159 • 157 :	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	% :20.0:21.2:2	8.9 :29.9 :20.8 :23.8 : 23.5 :	32.0 :25.1 :24.9 :21.5 : 28.
			: : : :
Cabo Rojo		31 : 105 : 57 : 87 : 118 :	
	1	7.1:38.2:13.7:21.0:28.4:	
a	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		1 : : : .
Guánica		37 : 86 : 49 : 69 : 60 : 7.4 :27.1 :19.8 :27.8 : 24.2 :	
	J		
Peñuelas	1	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	
1 0.140140	i	7.5 : 22.3:20.2:24.0:29.2 :2	
	" : : : :	: : : : : :	: : : :
Dorado	•	84 : 57 : 79 : 76 : 81 :	
		0.2:13.7:24.8:23.8:25.4:	
	: : :		
Toa Baja		79 : 241 : 303 : 249 : 211 :	
	% :34. 4: 22.1 : 2	3.3:20.2:0.7:25.3:21.4:3	22.6 :35.6 :23.5: 21.7:19.2

^{*}Title I Districts



THERE 25-a

INTERQUARTILE RANGES OF TEST SCORES
SPANISH READING TEST
FOUPTH GRIDE
APRIL 1972

	(*)	P	PERCENTAGE	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS W	WITHIN EX			
	;	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		i i				
18 district								
Sample							-	
N= 10,318	2 ,902	28.1	2,408	23.3	2 603	000		***
Title I						2	005,7	23.3
Districts					-	-	· -	
Total N- 2,920	965	33.0	733	25.1	689	23.6	733	
NON-Title I								50.0
Districts								
Total N= 7,398	1,937	26.2	1,675	22.6	1.914	c C	,	

TABLE 25- b

INTERQUARTILE RANGES OF TEST SCORES SPANISH READING TEST

APRIL 1972

SEVENTH GRADE

						1, 9, 55		417 19.0			00000	
		7:	;			24.4	• •	24.8			24.2	
PERCENTAGE OF SPUDENTS WITHIN EACH CONTRACT	100	- 7 (×.			1,954		7450			1,450	
ENTS WITH	2.0		,			25.7		7.07			24.0	
GE OF SIUD	- 30					(2) (2	C C	600			1,434	
PERCENTA	55.7	53			27.		29 3	·		7 70	50.5	
	c	Z			2,220		642			1.534		
	Group		18 district	Sample	N = 8,178	Title I	Districts	N = 2, 192	NON-Title I	Districts	N = 5,986	

71



TABLE 25- c

INTERQUARTILE RANGES OF TEST SCORES SPANISH READING TEST

TENTH GRADE APRIL 1972

		PERCENTAGE	OF STUDEN	TS WILLIEN	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS WITHIN EACH GUARTI			
Group	97 - 0	25	- 67	50		, t		
,	Z	^	Z	0	7.			
18 district Sample N = 5,440	1,492	27.4	1,390	2.55.	1,287	r- ')	655	64 60
Title I Districts N = 1,437	472	32.8	337	26.9	317	2.5.	261	; ;,
NON-Title I Districts N = 4,003	1,020	25.5	1,003	25.0	972	24.3	1,008	25.2



Table 26 Rank Order by District Spanish Reading 1971-1972

	A	Тв	С	T	T	T D/
District	4th Grade	7th Grade	10th Grade	A+B+C	<u>A+B+C</u> 3	R/ QT
* Comerio	9	15	13.5	37.5	12.5	14
*Corozal	18	17	15	50	16.7	18
* Jayuya	13	9.5	16	38.5	12.8	15
* Las Marías	11	8	18	37	12.3	13
* Maunabo	4	16	2	22	7.3	7.5
* Orocovis	15	13	17	45	15	17
Cabo Rojo	1	1	1	3	1	.1
Aguadilla	3	3	8	14	4.7	2.5
Camuy	7.5	5.5	6	19	6.3	5
Cayey	2	11	9	22	7.3	7.5
Dorado	17	7	10	34	11.3	10
Fajardo	6	4	4	14	4.7	2.5
Guánica	7.5	5.5	7	20	6.7	6
Gurabo	5	12	12	29	9.7	9
Juncos	14	18	3	35	11.7	11.5
Manatf	16	9.5	10	35.5	11.7	11.5
Peñuelas	10	2	5	17	5.7	4
Toa Baja	12	14	13.5	39.5	13.2	16

^{*} Title I Districts



Xt 228.0 Xr 227.0 Xu 229.1 RECORD OONHOO* **设法门公开办法公**?所 ALAB A01# *COROZAL STATE OF THE PARTY PENUELAS R RURAL URBAN TOTAL MAN *GUANICA SVIH VW SWY GRAPH 1-A Spanish Reading Test - Fourth Grade Mean Scores by District and Zone April, 1972 *CABO ROJO *AGUADILLA ****************** SOJNAR 00AALA4* *GURABO COMERIO 13163* SINOJOHO* MANAM 19447* 228.0 231.0-227.0-230.0-229.0 224.0 -0.252 **74** 232.0-226.0-234.0. 233.0-




-- Xu 2291. - Xr 227.0 - Xt 228.0 NYNT NYS* E RURAL ■ TOTAL URBAN BONOS GRAPH 1.8 Spanish Reading Test Fourth Grade Mean Scores by Regions and Zone April, 1972 SAND LAGUES *HUMACAO *CAGUAS OBIOJHY 229.07 227 0 225.0 2260 0 87. 230.0 231.0 75 65 4



Xu 232.8 $\overline{X}t$ 232.0 Xr 231.1 ı 00 A H OO * *COHOZWI SAIA AM SAI SMIANNELAS GRAPH 2-A Spanish Reading Test - Fifth Grade Mean Scores by District and Zone April, 1972 MANY 010A 08 A0* 211/10/2014 00AALAA* *MAUNABO j ŀ TAKE NEWS AND AND AND BBAAUS □ URBAN RURAL ■ TOTAL William College OIA3MOJA SINOJOHO* 1 Ī **经**有限的 TANAM* T 232.0 \dashv 239.0 -237.0. 235.0 236.0 235.0 231.0 -234.0 233.0 230.0 229.0 227.0 228.0 - 66 -76




GRAPH 2-B Spanish Reading Test - Fifth Grade Mean Scores by Regions and Zone April, 1972






GRAPH 3-A Spanish Reading Test, DMA - Sixth Grade Mean Scores by District and Zone April, 1972











Xt 21.6 Xr 20.2 Xu 23.8 SECTION AND A CONTRACT OF THE SECTION AND ADDRESS OF THE SECTION ADDRESS OF THE SECTION ADDRESS OF THE SECTION AND ADDRESS OF THE SECTION ADDRESS O OUNHOUR ではおけれず ALAB AOT# COROZAL *PENUELAS MANARA 1 100 *SUANICA SVIHVW SV7* SHIP TO A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OLOR OBAD* GRAPH 4-A English Reading Test, DMA - Sixth Grade Me in Scores by District and Zone April, 1972 A THE STATE OF THE * * AGUADILLA かっているのはないない OBANDAM* SECTION AND THE SECTION AND TH SOONAL *FAIABDO CONTRACTOR 084AU3* COMERIO ☐ URBAN ☐ RURAL ■ TOTAL 13160* SINOJOHO **药有的物料的有效性。新闻的种种** PT CHERRY TANAM* 10MPJ* 16.0 29.0 27.0 25.0 24.0 23.0 22.0 21.0 20.0 19.0 18.0 17.0 26.0 28.0 80 - 70 -









ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

Xu 240.3 Xt 240.1 Xr 240.0 l NAUL NAS DONOE GRAPH 5-B
Spenish Reading Test - Seventh Grade
Mea.i Scores by Regions and Zone
April, 1972 *MAYAGUEZ WHUNACAO URBAN R RURAL ■ TOTAL Syenys OBIOBAN 1 | 11 1 11 237.0 238.0 240.0 239.0 241.0 242.0 243.0 244.0 245.0 83



GRAPH 6-B
English Reading Test - Seventh Grade
Mean Scores by Regions and Zone
April, 1972






. - Xu 245.6 - Xr 243.7 —₹t 245.0 DOARDO COROZAL SPIHAM SP1* SYTANNA GRAPH 7-A Spanish Reading Test - Eighth Grade Mean Scores by District and Zone April, 1972 bynybr* OLOR OBAS FAJARDO i le *WAUNAW* ON VUD URBANA E RURAL TOTAL *COMERIO SINOSOHO TANAM* 250.0 -**98** 240.0 246.0 245.0 244.0 242.0 241.0 249.0 248.0 243.0 247.0 - 76 -




GRAPH 7-B Spanish Reading Test - Eigth Grade Mean Scores by Regions and Zone April, 1972





GRAPH 8-A Spanish Reading Test - Tenth Grade Mean Scores by District April, 1972



X island 252.5 NUNT NUSH # PONCE GRAPH 8-B Spanish Reading Test - Tenth Grade Mean Scores by Regious April, 1972 REVAGUEZ ONONNON DBIO3HV* 252.0 254.0 253.0 255.0 - 79 -89

Ş

ERIC Prul hax Provided by DOG

X: 354.0 OUNHOU* ALAB AOT# *COR0241 * PEAUELAS 11/1/1/* GUANICA SVIHVIN SV1* GRAPH G.A English Reading Test - Tenth Grade Mean Scores by District April, 1972 CABO AOJO *AGUADILLA OBANUAM² SOJNOS* OOHVIVS # OBARUD* *COMERIO 13/10* * OHOCONS TANAM * * CAMUL 362.0 -358.0 353.0 347.0 361.0 360.0 359.0 354.0 352.0 350.0 349.0 348.0 357.0 356.0 355.0 231.0 - 80 -




NUMP NUS* X island 354.0 BONOG * GRAPH 9-8 English Reading Test · Tenth Grade Mean Scores by Regions April, 1972 RENDVAVA * c40,042 0810364* 350.0 352.0 351.0 354.0 353.0 355.0 357.6 356.0



Xt 255.2 00 VH 00 * SAIA AM SAI * PENUELAS GRAPH 10-A Spanish Reading Test - Eleventy Grade Mean Scores by District April, 1972 VANAVI * OLOR OBAS OUNTRY OS AND AM \$3 * CURABO OINSMOS * * OHOCONIE TANAM * 251.0 260.0 254.0 253.0 257.0 256.0 255.0 252.0 259.0 258.0




GRAPH 10-B Spanish Reading Test - Eleventh Grade Mean Scores by Regions April, 1972






PLA8 A01 SYTANNAD TANAM SOJNA OBARNO GRAPH 11
Spanish and English Reading Tests, DMA - Sixth Grade
Relative Ranking of School Districts Based on Percentage
of Students Scoring at or Above the 50th. Percentile.
April, 1972 BUNNICA OUAPLA OOPHOO 13160 10WKS Mound 0004 0843 SINOJOHO OBVNAVN SVIH VW SV7 SPANISH ENGLISH MANAGE JASOHOS COMERIO 0 7 5 13 14 35 16 7 8 O 94 - 84 -









GRAPH 13
Spanish and English Reading Tests - Tenth Grade
Relative Ranking of School Districts Based on Percentage
of Students Scoring at or Above the 50th. Percentile
April, 1972













PLAS AOT SMANNA UNNOW SOJNA OBPANS GRAPH 16
Comparison of Spanish Reading Test Scores
in the Years 1971 and 1972 - Tenth Grade
Relative Ranking of School Districts Based on Percentage
of Students Scoring at or Above i' e 56th Percentile.
April, 1972 BOINDA OUAPLA OOFFOO 13/60 INWAS MINONIEM OLOH OBAS SIAOJOHO OBUNDUN 1972 SAIAAM SAJ 1971 MAY 1450402 COMERIO 0 m 4 5 12 33 16 7 18 ທ 9 ω 15 99 - 89 -

PLANS OF TWELFTH GRADE GRADUATES



PA' OF THE LINGRAD! CHADIA. S

sisce of the premise that the aspiration level of high School radiates is positively affected by increased educational opportunities, laterer plans of twelfth grade graduates have been gathered in our school system during three consecutive years as part of the design to issess little I impact in our educational system.

in the 63 s. 1 districts 18,880 filled in a questionnaire indicating their plans after graduation. (See Appendix 1). A breakdown of the data for the little I sector, the Non-Fittle I sector and total Island, is presented on libbes 27, 28, 29, 30, 31 and 32.

the real ajority (75.2 percent of the 18,880) expressed they had plane to ontinue studying or to work and continue studying.

hale ' .1 percent indicated they had intentions of working, a percent in', ated that they did not have plans either to work or .trd..

only 415 or 2.2 percent had plans to enter the Armed Forces and 19 or 1.4 percent did not answer the item.

districts a find that 50.4 percent of students from the Title I districts and 49.1 of the students from the other districts indicated the had plans to continue studying.



PLANS OF POTENTIAL INELFTH GRADE GRADUATES BY SEX: 35 TITLE I

DISTRICTS - VERSUS - 46 NON TITLE I DISTRICTS - YEAR 1971-72

DISTRICTS	SEX			T d	PLANS			
		Study	Study	Work Onlv	Neither Work or	Entered	Blank	Totals
		<i>(</i>	Work		Study	Forces		
S. Etle I	Male	1,206	622	779	7.5	97	30	2,809
	82	42.9	22.1	27.7	2.7	3.5	1.1	100
	Female	2,067	689	794	102 2 8	9 6	29	3,687
	\$ \$2 E4	3.273	1.311	1.573	177	103	59	967,9
) o'-	50.4	20.2	24.2	2.7	1.6	6.	100.0
Other 46	Male	2,258	1,689	975	17	396	93	5,388
School		41.9	31.3	18.1	1.4	5.5	1.,	100.0
Districts	Female	3,848	1,820	1,054	151	16	107	960.9
		55.0	26.0	15.1	2.2	2.	1.5	100.0
	;		(; i		366	(
	: 4 ***	o,100	3,509	670,7	278	312		#50 / 17
		49.3	28.3	16.4	1.8	2.5	1.6	100).
tinis, alan,	د. در ک	٥ ٣	778. 7	٠,60	404	415	254	7 1 2
		7.6+	25.5	19.1	-:	2.2	1.4	100.0

Findings: 1) Girls outnumbered boys in plans to continue studying. 2) Boys outnumbered girls in plans to cork only.



AL FERNALALS IN LIEMS ., A AND 4 OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE CHOSES IN A LIED THEY HAD PLANS TO CONTINUE STEDYING

gettern die Leitenberg Neide

	35	Title I	Oth	er 46		
VM ER LATIVES	D ₁	stricts		Districts	Total 1	Sland
The state of the s	N.	9%	N	0,0	N	Qf
is to not reed conomic help	206	0.3	841	13.8	1,047	11. 2
B- Nece full senolarship	2,659	81. 2	4,075	66.8	6,734	71.8
C - New transportation	132	4.0	303	5.0	435	4.6
D- Section become expenses	82	2.5	84	1.4	166	1.8
F- loca for books and materials	183	5.6	759	12, 4	942	10.0
in olen	11	. 3	44	. 7	55	.6
l'otal	3, 273	100.0	6,106	100.0	9,379	100.0
II- Item 3: Type of Institution			1 ,			100.0

I - Item 3: Type of Institution

ALTERNATIVES	1	Title I	Othe	r 46	T	
MULLING VALUES	Di	istricts	School	Districts	Total	Island
A The same state of the same s	N	o.	N	0',	N	7%
A- In University or College	2,741	83.7	4,029	75.8	7,370	78.6
B- lo Commercial School	66	2.0	201	3.3	267	2.8
C- In Vocational School	295	9.0	743	12.2	1,038	11.1
D- ir Fechnologs al School	104	3.2	326	5.3	430	4.6
E- Different plans	58	1.8	183	3.0	241	2.6
In blank	9	0.3	24	0.4	33	0.3
Total	3, 273	100.0	6,106	100.0	9,379	100.0
Eindings (Table 9)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	1. 200.

Findings (Table 28)

- 1) A higher percentage of students from the non-Title I districts who indicated plans to continue studying indicated they were in no need of economic help, however in both groups the majority expressed they are in need of a full scholarship.
- 2) A higher percentage from the Title I districts have plans to continue studying in a University or College.
- 3) Apprenientely 79% of all twelfth grade graduates expressed their preference for a university or college while 15.7% of all students in the Island indicated they had plans to continue studying in either vocational or technological schools.



		35 Litle L	Oth	er 46		
NI 1 . NI 15		Districts	School	Districts	Total	Island
	<u>N</u>	σ _i ,	N	u.	N	7/6
- More than tour years	74	5.6	381	10.9	455	9.4
Degraph graph	267	20.4	656	18.7	923	19.1
C-1.0 or the years	664	50.6	1,563	44.5	2,227	46.2
D-Ayar	264	20.1	734	20.9	998	20.7
I'- Loss than a year	37	2.8	145	4.1	182	3.8
In blani	5	0.4	30	0.9	35	0.7
Total	1,311	100.0	3,509	100.0	4,820	100.0

III- Hern of Study Time Plans of Those Who Expect to Study and Work

A • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3	5 Title I	Othe	r 46		
ALIERNATIVES	I	Districts	School	Districts	_ Total	Island
	N	0,,	N	σ <u>*</u>	N	01
A- Study during day and work night	115	8.8	298	8.5	413.	8.6
B- Study during day and work free days	476	36.3	792	22.6	1, 268	26.3
C - Study half a day and work the other half	441	33.6	1,401	39.9	1,842	38. 2
D- Study night courses and work durnig day	200	15.3	845	24.1	1,045	21.7
U- Study by correspondence and worl during day	18	1. 4	31	0.9	49	1.0
In blank	61	4.7	142	4.0	203	4.
Lotal	1, 311	100.0	3,509	100.0	4,820	100.

	ر جُر ا	Title !	Oth.			
		tricts	School I	Districts	Iotal I	sland
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u> </u>		N	0,		0,
tidy for than on yours	719	22.0	1,693	27.7	-,412	25.7
	1, 392	42.5	_,076	34.0	3,468	37.0
The second of the section of the second	911	29.5	1,737	28.4	703	28.8
D-8 (40) M	105	5.0_	481	7.9	646	6.9
the state of the s	15	0.5	70	1.1	85	0.9
4 0.1	16	0.5	49	0.8	65	0.7
lest	3, 273	100.0	6,106	100.0	9,379	100.0

TABLE 29

CALLY CALLY TO THE TOTAL AND 5 CHOSEN BY THOSE STUDENTS WHO THE CALLY THE WORK TO CONTINUE STUDYING AND TO WORK

in the same of the country on

	35	Title I	Othe.	46		
MITTER TARK		tricts	School I	Districts	Total I	sland_
VI II	N	0',	N	o*	N	<u>%</u>
A Retshy or College	599	45.7	1, 424	40.6	2,023	42.0
e a con percial School	IU 3	7.9	397	11.3	500	10.4
(- a shateral Shot	380	29.0	928	26.4	1, 308	27.1
Dear technological Institute	89	6.8	383	10.9	472	9.8
F- of erent lays from the above	136	10.4	349_	9.9	485	10.1
r blank	4	0.3	28	0.8	32_	0.7
1 it il	1, 311	100.0	3,509	100.0	4,820	100.0
1 '(i i					_	

rayer, - (Table of)

vere planning to study either in a vocational school or technological on title. Almost all of them indicated they would study two to three years.



RESEARCH THE AND A THOSEN BY THOSE STUDENTS WHO INDICATED TO THE PLANS FOR WORKING OR STUDYING

i- ites, by the est . Reported By Those Planning Not To Continue Studying

At PRANCE AS	35 Tit Distri		Other School D	46 istricts	Total	Island
	N N	7,	N	%	N_	%
A- No interest in tuiping	119	6.8	211	9.3	330	8.2
D- Need or scholarship	270	15.4	308	13.6	578	14.4
<pre> Canily needs economic help</pre>	919	52.5	1,177	52.1	2,096	52.3
D- 10: grades	378	21.6	448	19.8	826	20.6
L- Poor health	20	1.1	34	1.5	54	1.3
In stank	44	2.5	79	3.5	123	3.1
lotal	1,750	100.0	2,257	100.0	4,007	100.0

Table 31

POTENTIAL TWELFTH GRADE GRADUATES WHO INDICATED THEIR PLANS TO ENTER THE ARMED FORCES - 1971- 72 (ITEM I,E)

School Districts	N	%
)5 fitle [103	24.8
ther	312	75.2
otal island	415	100.00



Table 32

PIAKS OF POTENTIAL TWELFTH GRADE GRADUATES, 35 FITLE I DISTRICTS VS 46 NON TITLE I DISTRICTS YEARS 1970-1971 and 1971-1972

Princes Constitution of the Constitution of th	z. z. z	2,576 45 6,481 45	Study Only St No 1 1972 1971 6 3,273 1,212 50.4 22 50.4 22 49.3 30	Study and Nork 1971 1,212 22 22 4,284 30	\$ -5 × (*)	Work Only 1971 197 1,548 1,528 2,24 2,775 2,0	1972 1972 1,573 24.2 24.2	3 1 1	Seither Work or Study 971 1972 93 177 252 228	Entered Armed Forces 1971 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1972 103 1.6	Blank 1971 1871 1	1972	Total 1971 1971 1971 14,287	15. 1972 6,496 100
				2 1.	2.0.	+ \$23	16.4	2 44.5 2.2	1.8	302	2. 5. 5	345.	259	19,963	100 1880 100

of the column offices of 5.4 of stricted trouding Title I sector of touting their streets and 4.5 in 1971 as 30.4 or 3

PROJECT PROFILES



Several activities were designed to provide compensatory education experiences to Title I children who were receiving less than six hours of instruction dai! ... some instances no schooling at all due to some or all of the following reasons:

In some areas children were getting 3 or 4 hours of instruction daily due to both lack of school rooms and teacher shortage. In others, children were on a interlocking organization of 5 hours daily due to lack of space. Certain areas had facilities for a limited number of grades only, and beyond a certain grade students had to attend schools located in distant neighborhoods and they needed transportation services. Many school attendance areas lacked the facilities to admit to the first grade all of their school age children. This situation left out of school many school age children who had to wait several years to start their schooling. Furthermore, students also lacked enough schooling time due to cases of prolongued teachers' absences without the provision of substitute teachers.

The activities hereinafter described were designed to provide adequate schooling facilities, enough teachers and substitute teachers and supportive services to offer the participating needy youngster a school day of six hours daily of instruction.

PROJECT PROFILE

1. Project Area

: 10

11. Project Title

: Lengthening the School Day

III. Grade Level

: Grades from 1 to 12

IV. Cost

: \$8,855,948.00

V. Yeor

: 1971-72

VI. Duration

project is a continuation of a project operating in Puerto Rico

since 1966

Objectives:

1. To eliminate the double enrollment and interlocking type of school organization.

2. To increase the number of classrooms and other school facilities.

3. To increase school retention.

Activities and services provided:

A. Construction program:

Up to fiscal year 1972 a total of 2,391 classrooms and 1,064 other school facilities had been constructed with Title I funds in Puerto Rico. Specifically, for school year 1971-72 a total of 175 classrooms and 66 other school facilities were under construction. The 66 school facilities included: 6 libraries, 17 lunchrooms, 9 offices, 22 sanitary units, 6 fences and 6 athletic courts. The construction program for the years 1970-71 and 1971-72 included 56 school districts, 32 of which are Title I. Of a total of 415 classrooms constructed during these two years, 79 were used to eliminate double enrollment, 184 to attend increase in enrollment, 100 to substitute buildings in poor conditions, 52 to eliminate interlocking organizations. In addition to these, 144 other school facilities were also completed. A total of 17,391 students had benefited during the two years.



- 100 -

B. Appointment of additional teachers:

A total of 754 teachers appointed last year to reduce or eliminate the double enrollment and interlocking type of organization in Title I districts continued this year. Thre hundred three (303) positions were added for the same purpose for a total of 1057. Approximately 90,430 students or 40% of the total enrollment of the 35 Title I districts were affected in one way or another as a result of the appointment of the 1,057 teachers. Sixty eight per cent (68%) of the teaching positions appointed to lengthen the school day served that purpose, 14 per cent were used to attend increase in enrollment, 12 per cent to reduce the number of students per group and 6 per cent were used for other purposes.

C. Transportation !.ogram:

Of a total enrollment of 353,820 students in 48 school districts (including the 35 Title I) 18,602 or 5 per cent were offered transportation services. Of this total, 14,997 belonged to Title I districts. That is 6 per cent of the total enrollment in these districts.

D. Appointment of substitute teachers:

Fifty two teachers were appointed this year in the 35 Title I districts to substitute teachers who were in leave of absence for maternity and or prolonged sickness. Approximately 4,619 students benefited from the program in both levels, elementary and secondary; 3,078 rural zone and 1,541 urban zone.



Other activities aimed at the improvement of the academic achievement and the cultural enrichment of Title I target youngsters from prekinder through grade 12 including the handicapped.

ľ

Curriculum development and teacher training activities
were undertaken to offer compensatory education experiences with
emphasis on the reading skills in both English and Spanish, Mathematics,
Science and Social Studies. Cultural enrichment activities in art,
drama and music were also offered. Vocational education and academic
courses were offered to out-of-school youths.

PROJECT PROFILE

I. Project Area No. : 20

II. Project Title : Curriculum Errichment and Improvement

of Pupils' Academic Achievement

III. Grade Level : All levels

IV. Cost : \$18,969,379.00

V. Year : 1971-72

VI. Duration : This project is a continuation of a

project operating in Puerto Rico

since 1969

Objectives:

1. To improve pupils' academic achievement in the following areas:

Spanish
English
Science
Mathematics
Social Studies
Vocational Program

- 2. To enrich and expand curriculum in all instructional areas.
- 3. To facilitate and make more effective the transfer of students from secondary to college level and to offer students an opportunity to move head at their own pace.
- 4. To offer unemployed young dropouts between the ages of 16 to 21 from areas of high cultural deprivations, experiences for the intellectual, vocational and social development which will enable them either to find employment or continue further studies.
- 5. To provide opportunity for students with artistic talent to develop their potential to a maximum and to share their artistic knowledge and experience with others.
- 6. To improve teachers' preparation.
- 7. To improve and extend school library services.
- 8. To continue developing in teachers and students the competencies needed for the practical use of resources available in educational technology.



- 103 -

Activities:

A. Curriculum Development and Enrichment

1. New curriculum continued to be designed and the existent one continued to be revised.

Specifically, the following was accomplished during fiscal year 1972:

- a) the curriculum designed to meet the educational needs in science of students in 4th, 5th and 6th grades was implemented in the 35 Title I districts. A total of 14,280 students benefited. Audiovisual aids, which included pictures, puzzles, films:rips, posters and and charts, were either commercially purchased or produced by curriculum technicians or teachers.
- b) the Social Studies program was reorganized so as to provide the students with laboratory periods using a variety of textbooks (averaging 4 instead of only one within a class period).
- c) classes in English, Spanish and Mathematics at the university level, were offered in the 33 high schools participating in the project, by teachers with previous training in the subject in accordance with contents, methods and evaluation system.
- d) wage earning home economics courses were established in 4 academic senior high schools. A total of 216 students benefited from the program.
- e) under the Business Education Program major emphasis was given to strengthening existing courses in office occupations. The office practice course was enriched with simulated office experiences. Ten teaching positions were assigned.
- f) the Distribution and Marketing Program provided training in modern selling techniques in retail trades to a total of 105 students in the school districts of Morovis, Isabela and Mayaguez.
- g) courses in Industrial Arts were offered to 259 students in four senior high schools in the areas of basic electronics, graphic communication, technical drawing and power mechanics.
- h) forty six academic senior high schools were served with trade courses. A total of 104 vocational courses were organized. The enrollment in these courses included 6,250 students, 5,000 of which were high school students and 1,250 dropouts.



- 104 -

i) in the seven vocational programs, instructional materials were made available to the participating districts. Outlines of courses, materials with information about the curriculum, bibliographies, teaching aids and monographs with occupational information, were developed. The Vocational Industrial Education Program concentrated its efforts in the preparation and revision of teacher guides and learning modules for power sewing machine operator, outbody repairing and painting, electrical appliances repairing, electricity, refrigeration, plumbing, cosmetology, carpentry, bricklaying and automobile mechanics.

On the area of Business Occupation, materials on office techniques were revised.

The distribution and marketing program produced materials with regard to personal relations, importance of distribution in the economy, life insurance, human relations in business, salesman personality and his social adaptation.

The Vocational Agriculture Program prepared curriculum guides for the teaching of units related to crops production, animal production, agricultural mechanics, food preservation, flower gardening and ornamental horticulture.

The Industrial Arts program continued the revision of high school courses on wood and metal manufacturing, basic electricity and visual communications.

The guidance program kept updating information on new and emerging occupations, besides monographs with occupational information for disadvantaged students.

Curriculum guides were completed for a special course dealing with home economics for the world of work.

- j. A total of 335 secondary schools used the San Juan Star in the classroom and approximately 32,000 newspapers were discributed to the participating schools.
- k. A three week seminar for forty talented ninth grade students from rural second unit schools in economically deprived areas, was held at the Inter-American University at San Germán where they offered conferences, field trips, laboratory experiments, films and library studies on interesting and contemporary scientific aspects.



- 1. Twenty five students served as guides in the Museum of Art in Ponce, while other 25 entered the School of Visual Arts. Guides served during their free periods and weekends and received monthly payments from \$25 to \$75 according to the number of hours worked. Subjects taught either in the Visual Arts School or in the Museum were sculpture, painting, cerography, drawing, engraving, Rennaisance Arts, the place of the fine arts in the economic and social development of the country and art appreciation in general. Twenty five students participated in the annual Fine Arts Festival and won the first and second prize in draing, first prize in caricature and second prize in collage.
- m. The curriculum for the teaching of music was enriched through classes of musical history, musical appreciation, instrumentation and organization of bands, choral groups and rondallas.
- n. A special curriculum for the Work-Study Center youths was designed.
- o. Diagnostic tests as well as standardized achievement tests were constructed in Spanish, English, Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies.
- p. A total of 997 students from 33 high schools of the Island, 11 of which are in Title I, were enrolled in the Advanced Placement Program. 522 students took the College Board Examination to receive credit for the classes taken. (Spanish, English and Mathematics).
- B. Pre and in-service training activities were provided through which:
 - a) teachers attending the 119 participating groups in the Science program as well as 6 general supervisors and 35 coordinators were trained or oriented in the implementation of the new curriculum since many of them were new in the program this year.
 - b) three hundred social studies teachers were trained in the development of skills such as stating instructional objectives in behavioral terms, asking questions that demand more than mere memorization, development of reflective thinking including interpretation, organization, analysis, discussing alternatives, etc.
 - c) The Director of the Social Studies Program, the General Supervisors and the Coordinators offered · · about 282 training sessions (both group and individual) to approximately 3,000 participants. ·



- d) A total of 12 sessions were held with supervisors and coordinators from the Spanish program to offer them orientation in areas such as: child psychology, production and use of teaching aides, reading methods and techniques, individualized instruction and evaluation.
- e) Out of a total of 2,000 applicants, 466 high school graduates who had a satisfactory command of English and were interested in teaching as a profession, were selected as trainees for the bilingual program in 1971-72. Criteria for the selection of candidates were clearly stated and defined.

Pre-service training centers were established at various institutions of higher learning throughout the Island. The training sessions lasted approximately 8 weeks and accounted for a total of 9 college credits. The purpose of the training program were to familiarize the candidate with:

- a) Techniques recommended for teaching English as a second language.
- b) Materials used in the primary grades.
- c) Psychology of early childhood.

The 466 candidates completed the training successfully and were appointed as provisional teachers of English. They were assigned to teach English in the primary grades in 690 schools in the six educational regions, 254 in the urban zone and 436 in the rural zone.

Bilingual teachers participated in various in-service training activities such as meetings, seminars, workshops and demonstration classes given by the local supervisors in the school districts. The type and number of inservice training activities varied from one district to the other depending on total number of teachers assigned to local supervisors.

Arrangements were made for the teachers to take special courses during Saturdays and succeeding summer sessions in order to complete the requirements for a certificate as "Teacher of English in the Elementary School". Forty teachers expect to finish their degree during 1972-73.

- f) A 3 credit course in Linguistic in the <u>Teaching of Reading</u> (Education 385) was offered in 5 universities to a total of 130 junior high school teachers. The course content included the following aspects:
 - a) Principles underlying the development and teaching of an effective skill building program.



- b) Selection and availability of literature for teenagers.
- c) Use of electronic equipment and materials.
- d) Individualized instruction.

Approximately 100 zone supervisors of English attended a 5 day seminar organized by general supervisors and the English staff at the Central office. Reading experts were invited to lecture on objectives, techniques and materials to be used in the project. The teachers participated in various inservice training activities such as meetings, seminars, workshops and demonstrations classes given by the local supervisors in the school districts. The type and number of inservice training activities varied from one district to the other depending on the number of teachers assigned to local supervisors.

g) Workshops, seminars and conferences on topics such as individualized instruction, teaching techniques, use of the skill tapes, use of audiovisual materials, evaluation in mathematics, modern mathematics, programmed instruction and use of text books were offered at the local, regional and central office levels for mathematics teachers. About 150 teachers had the opportunity to take university courses in mathematics:

> Education 303 - 19 teachers Mathematics 300 - 76 teachers Mathematics 151 - 55 teachers

- h) Summer seminars were offered to participating teachers by the Advanced Placement Program during summer sessions by University professors under the direction of College Board officials.
- i) In-service training for vocational education teachers and curriculum technicians was itensified in the seven vocational programs:

Guidance and Counseling, Business Education, Distribution and Marketing, Industrial Arts, Business Occupation, Vocational Agricultural.

- j) In-service training was offered to 13 librarians in book-binding, reading techniques, use of audio-visual aids.
- k) Three hundred secondary school teachers were offered in-service training in the use of the newspaper as an educational device. These included the use of various sections of the newspaper, current events, newspaper terminology, skimming, editorials, etc.



- 108 -

- 1) In-service training and university courses such as; Repertorio Coral, Organization of Choral Groups, Orff Mehtod, etc. were offered to 38 teachers of music in the secondary schools. Workshops dealing with areas related to sculpture, photography, use of new techniques in plastic arts were offered to 20 teachers of visual arts.
- m) A total of 747 teachers attended in-service training activities organized by audiovisual coordinators on use of educational technology, manipulation and maintenance of equipment, designing, production and use of audiovisual materials, etc.
- n) In-service training activities for kindergarten teachers include seminars, conferences demostrative classes and workshops on specific teaching techniques for this level. Scholarships were provided to 18 teachers to pursue further university courses on preschool education.
- C. Books, newspapers, equipment and materials were purchased and used accordingly for the following purposes:
 - a) To improve and reinforce reading skills in the junior high school level the following books were purchased:
 - 1) 136,172 English reading skill building text books.
 - 2) 5,355 library books for reading corners.
 - 3) Electronic equipment and materials for 85 participating schools in the English program.
 - 4) Each school was provided with a tape recorder, table, chairs, 8 headphones, lighting center, 13 story books and metal cabinet.
 - b) Mathematics teachers were provided with overhead console, tape recorder and cassettes, sets of slides following units of study, files and pegboard screens.
 - c) Reference books for the high school libraries were provided and \$20 examination fee was paid to the students who participated in the Advanced Placement Program and took the College Board examinations.
 - d) Twenty one audiovisual centers were organized in target areas which included establishment of 6 regional film libraries to provide circulation of 16 millimeter moion pictures to use in the classrooms.



e) Each audiovisual specialist received \$3000 in order to evaluate, select and purchase commercially prepared audiovisual material.

f) Equipment and material purchased by the Department of Education for the audiovisual program included:

1084 -- motion pictures 1181 -- film strips

147658 -- slides and transparencies 1462 -- other audiovisual materials

g) Instructional materials and equipment were provided to Kindergaten teachers which allowed for the creation of an adequate classroom atmosphere.



The supportive and ancillary services hereafter described were provided to help the target youngsters overcome difficulties that were hindering their academic gain and their emotional and social growth.

Instruction in health problems and drug abuse was offered. Health services were provided and activites aimed at reducing the drop out rate were conducted.

r.

PROJECT PROFILE

I. Project Area : 30

II. Project Title : Supportive Services

III. Grade Level : All levels

IV. Cost : \$2,501,373.00

V. Year : 1971-72

VI. Duration : This project is a continuation of

a project operating in Puerto Rico

since 1969

Objectives:

1. To improve pupils' physical, social and emotional development.

2. To retain in school at leats 50% of students identified as potential dropouts.

3. To offer students the opportunity to develop and cultivate their potentialities and aesthetic interests in the arts of painting, music and drama.

4. To foster and incrase participation of parents and other community members in school affairs.

5. To improve guidance services to students through the use of diversified media in the identification of students' interests and needs.

Activities and services provided:

A. <u>Health Services</u>

Physical examinations were made to a total of 38,403 (74.6%) kindergarten, first and seventh grade students of 36 districts, 35 of which are Title I. Specialists such as ophtalmalogists, dermatologists, cardiologists, orthpedists, etc. tended a total of 5,034 students in 26 districts. A total of 25,163 students in 28 Title I districts received dental services which included extractions, treatment for dental cavities and surgery. A total of 959 students received hospital services at a cost of \$122,315.56.



- 112 -

B. S ial Work Servi 3

A total of 37 positions of social worker were assigned to work in rural and urban areas in 29 Title I districts. Of a total of 47,528 students of all levels who benefited in one way or another from the services provided by the social worker in the 29 districts, 2,751 received individual help and 2,718 participated in group sessions. A total of 2,644 students were identified as potential dropouts by means of a predictive scale and teachers observations.

In-service training activities were provided by means of which social workers were trained in several aspects such as drug addiction and group therapy. Improvement of school environment, health and economic problems of students, social and civic activities and preparation of bulletins were some of the activities developed as part of the seminars (seminario de vida estudiantil) organized in various districts.

C. Guidance Services:

The Guidance and Counselling Program utilized the service of 11 counsellors that served a total of 5,845 high school students from 8 school districts in addition to the existing 402 positions in the regular program.

D. Fine Arts and Recreational Cultural Activities:

Formal music classes were continued with the following groups: Orquesta Juvenil de Cuerdas de Río Piedras, Rondalla de Yauco, Rondalla de Sabana Grande, Conjunto de Acordeones de Luquillo, Conjunto de Flautas de Sallnas, Piano Lessons of Cidra, Conjunto de Cuerdas de Juana Díaz, Hatillo Band, Barceloneta Band and Piano Lessons of Viques. A total of 652 students participated in the program.

Seventeen teachers of drama and 3 supervisors were appointed this year to work in 17 Title I districts. A total of 925 students participated in the dramatic arts classes, 1,587 in the production of theatrical performances organized locally, 1,098 in special programs to celebrate school holidays, 290 in the Fine Arts Festival and 130 in Choral Recitation.

A total of 42 activities dealing with various phases of Puerto Rico and Universal Culture were offered around the Island, 9,046 students benefited from them. The activities consisted of lectures, paetry recitals, concerts, presentations of choral groups and theatrical performances.

The "Areyto Ballet Folklorico Puertorriqueño" offered 20 performances in Title J districts. A total of 18,000 students attended these performance free of charge.

Workshops on the use of the pupper as a teaching device were offered to 110 elementary school teachers specially from the rural zone in 21 Title I districts. A total of 11,898 students from the target areas attended the 109 pupper performances offered.



filler to a reducted the

mances. Two plays, El tremine del Pueblo and Fuert Ovejuna were presented in schools at approximately 67,658 persons observed them among which were students it acress, parents and other community members.

A series of 4 concerts by the Symphonic Orchestra of Duerto Rico, 6 by professors of the Puerto Rican Conservatory of Music and 40 by famous local players and singers were offered to students of low sico-economic level. A total of 6,803 students benefited from both urban and rural zones.

Two groups of 25 students were organized in the Mayaruez and Ponce Regions, to which 23 classes in the plastic arts were offered on Saturdays. Activities offered consisted of the study of plastic arts, analysis of pictures, filmstrips, sculptures, and masterpieces.

The Mobile Art Exposition with 29 masterpieces visited 31 of the 35 Title i school districts and other low socio-economic areas. Approximately 33,396 students visited it. Printed materials with pictures and biographical notes about the artists were distributed among visitors.



SUMMARY OF MAJOR FINDINGS



Summary of Major Findings:

A. Background:

Over the years of Title I funds in our educational system, the Division of Evaluation has faced serious limitations while trying to comply with the Title I evaluation requirement. One major constraint has been the lack of initial data base against which to compare annual results.

The need to develop standardized tests, being as it is a very slow process, plus the unsuccessful attempts to develop an effective data processing capability at the State Department of Education along with changes in the Title I program itself, have contributed to conceal very significant efforts expended toward a more comprehensive and objective assessment of the Title I program impact in the educational system.

The following is a summary of the major findings for this fiscal year including both objective and descriptive data.

B. Highlights of the findings:

In terms of stated goals, Title I projects were in general successful. However, in most cases, goals were state, in terms of services to be provided rather than in terms of change of behavior expected in the students.

Project I - Lengthening of the school day

Results:

A comparison of enrollment figures by type of school organization for the years 1971 and 1972 indicates that within the Title I sector there was & 3% decrease in the double enrollment (3 hours) type of school organization and 1% decrease in the double enrollmen. (4 hours) type of school organization.



Project Area II - Curriculum Enrichment and Improvement of Pupils'
Academic Achievement

Academic Achievement

a. Reading

Results:

Test results indicate that although, in general, Title I districts are still achieving mean scores below islandwide norms, in Spanish and English reading, the amount of gain attained from 1971 to 1972 was the same or in some cases slightly better than that attained by the Non-Title I districts included in the testing sample.

The difference between urban and rural zone students within the Title I sector is also smaller than in the Non-Title I sector.

b. Mathematics

Results:

The results indicate there was no significant difference between Title I and Non-Title I groups in the seventh grade, however, significant differences in favor of the Title I groups were observed in the results of the Algebra test administered in the ninth grade.

c. Science

Results:

In the opinion of teachers and on the basis of attendance records, participation in class and science activities such as fairs, field trips, supplementary



readings, etc. there was increased interest in science.

d. Social Studies

Results:

According to scale used by coordinators, about 89% of the 300 teachers stated objectives in behavioral terms by the end of the school year.

Direct observation in 15 classrooms as well as reports from two Regional Supervisors lead to conclude that toward the end of the school year about 87% of the teachers showed satisfactory progress in the use of questions to encourage reflective thinking.

e. Pre-School Education

Results:

In the opinion of teachers, supervisors and Evaluation coordinators, the Kindergarten program succeeded in the development of positive attitudes, skills and social habits of students.

f. Special Education for Handicapped Children

Results

Although educable mentally retarded and trainable mentally retarded students seem to have improved in the academic, social, health and manual skills, the project did not reach its full development because of need of special techniques, guides for teachers, adequate materials and equipment.



Project Area III - Supportive Services

Results

Services designed to meet the physical, social and emotional needs of students within the Title I Area were continued and in most cases extended or increased:

- a) Health services offered were highly increased including in 1972 approximately 38,403 students, that is 74.06% of all Kindergarten, first and seventh grade children in 34 districts, 33 of which are within the Title I sector.
- b) Reports of Social Workers reveal that out of a total of 2,644 students identified as potential dropouts, 2,145 (81%) were retained in school.
- c) Opportunities for pupils' development of their recreational and artistic talent continued to include among other activities the following:

 School theatre, music classes, musical concerts, puppet theatre, plastic arts workshops, art expositions, school bands, visual arts seminar, ballet performances and dramatic arts.

Aspiration Level of Students

Results:

The percentage of twelfth grade graduates indicating plans to study after graduation is the same within the Title I sector as in the rest of the Island. The percentage indicating plans to continue studying at the University level is higher than that from the remaining districts.



A P P E N D I X



Appen 11: 1

A TIERE ASCUIADO DE PUERTO RICO MANTANO DE INSTRUCCION PUBLICA TILASION DE EVALUACON-TITULO I

FIGURE OF ACTON SOBRE PLANES DE LOS ESTUDIANTES DE ESCUELA SUPERIOR

gara ser a stestado solamente por estudiantes de duodécimo grado.

1- . or vera: dis estudios de escuela superior

- your accepts sare studiando solamente
- o i comilar ca trabajar
- . . . rebajar solamente
- or de to e planes de continuar estudiando ni de trabajar
- researcher er en el ejército
- it may π^2 , π^2 .
- o Si contesti to al from al en la alternativa \underline{B} , contesta los items #3, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$.
- o Si contesta de el frem el en la alternativa Co en la D, contesta setuente el frem 6.
- of discontestants of from it on la alternativa E, terminaste y puedes entregar to discinnario.

2- Para continuar (3 estudios

- A- cue to con recursos económicos suficientes
- 5- nelle lite una beca que cubra todos o gran parte de mis gastos
- (-) cesito solamente ayuda para pago de transportación
- r- nescrito solamente ayuda para pago de hospedaje
- c- necesito solamente ayuda para libros y materiales de estudios

3- Jenzo pianes de estudiar

- A- en ma universidad o colegio
- r- en una escuela de comercio
- or ma escuela vocacional
- "- , " un instituto tecnológico
- E- mi plane, de estudio son diferentes a los expresados en la anteriores



- 😁 leigo planes de estudiar
 - A- más de cuatro años
 - R- quatro años
 - dos ó tres años
 - ur un año
 - E- menos de un año
- lengo planes de estudiar
 - A- de dfa y trabajar de noche
 - b- de dfa y trabajar en dfas libres
 - (- medio día y trabajar medio día
 - D- cursos nocturnos y trabajar de día
 - l- carsos por correspondencia y trabajar de día
- -- No ver a continuar estudiando porque
 - A- no me interesa
 - "- necesitarfa una beca, ya que no tengo dinero suficiente
 - mi tamilia necesita que yo los ayude económicamente
 - ')- mis notas son demasiado bajas
 - 1 mi salud no me lo permite

